

In this issue:

Electronic Commerce: An Alternative for Small Businesses

Ghasem S. Alijani Southern University at New Orleans New Orleans, LA 70126 Nnenna A. Amugo Southern University at New Orleans New Orleans, LA 70126

Sam Eweni Southern University at New Orleans New Orleans, LA 70126

J. Steven Welsh Southern University at New Orleans New Orleans, LA 70126 Boumediene Belkhouche Tulane University New Orleans, LA 70118

Abstract: Electronic commerce technology offers new opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises to extend their customer base in the global marketplace. One of the major technological challenges for many of these businesses is the lack of both information technology skills and knowledge about electronic commerce. Adopting electronic commerce can be difficult and without these needed skills and appropriate knowledge, businesses cannot make sound decisions for either daily or long-term operations. The purpose of this research was to investigate the success and failure rates of small businesses that engaged in electronic commerce by evaluating their sales performance. The data used included both the revenues from total sales and the electronic commerce portion of these revenues for the period of 1998-2001. Factors investigated included the year-to-year change in revenue and the percentage of total sales related to electronic commerce.

Keywords: electronic commerce, business-to-business, business-to-customer, B2B, B2C

Recommended Citation: Alijani, Amugo, Eweni, Welsh, and Belkhouche (2004). Electronic Commerce: An Alternative for Small Businesses. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 2 (29). http://isedj.org/2/29/. ISSN: 1545-679X. (Also appears in *The Proceedings of ISECON 2003:* §2121. ISSN: 1542-7382.)

This issue is on the Internet at http://isedj.org/2/29/

The Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ) is a peer-reviewed academic journal published by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP, Chicago, Illinois). • ISSN: 1545-679X. • First issue: 8 Sep 2003. • Title: Information Systems Education Journal. Variants: IS Education Journal; ISEDJ. • Physical format: online. • Publishing frequency: irregular; as each article is approved, it is published immediately and constitutes a complete separate issue of the current volume. • Single issue price: free. • Subscription address: subscribe@isedj.org. • Subscription price: free. • Electronic access: http://isedj.org/ • Contact person: Don Colton (editor@isedj.org)

Editor Don Colton Brigham Young Univ Hawaii Laie, Hawaii

The Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON) solicits and presents each year papers on topics of interest to IS Educators. Peer-reviewed papers are submitted to this journal.

2003 ISECON Papers Chair William J. Tastle Ithaca College Ithaca, New York Associate Papers Chair Mark (Buzz) Hensel Univ of Texas at Arlington Arlington, Texas Associate Papers Chair Amjad A. Abdullat West Texas A&M Univ Canyon, Texas

EDSIG activities include the publication of ISEDJ, the organization and execution of the annual ISECON conference held each fall, the publication of the Journal of Information Systems Education (JISE), and the designation and honoring of an IS Educator of the Year. • The Foundation for Information Technology Education has been the key sponsor of ISECON over the years. • The Association for Information Technology Professionals (AITP) provides the corporate umbrella under which EDSIG operates.

© Copyright 2004 EDSIG. In the spirit of academic freedom, permission is granted to make and distribute unlimited copies of this issue in its PDF or printed form, so long as the entire document is presented, and it is not modified in any substantial way.

Electronic Commerce: An Alternative for Small Businesses

Ghasem S. Alijani, Nnenna A. Amugo, Sam Eweni, J. Steven Welsh Southern University at New Orleans Graduate Studies Program in Computer Information Systems 6400 Press Drive New Orleans, LA 70126

Boumediene Belkhouche Tulane University Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science New Orleans, LA 70118

Abstract

Electronic commerce technology offers new opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises to extend their customer base in the global marketplace. One of the major technological challenges for many of these businesses is the lack of both information technology skills and knowledge about electronic commerce. Adopting electronic commerce can be difficult and without these needed skills and appropriate knowledge, businesses cannot make sound decisions for either daily or long-term operations. The purpose of this research was to investigate the success and failure rates of small businesses that engaged in electronic commerce by evaluating their sales performance. The data used included both the revenues from total sales and the electronic commerce portion of these revenues for the period of 1998-2001. Factors investigated included the year-to-year change in revenue and the percentage of total sales related to electronic commerce.

Keywords: electronic commerce, business-to-business, business-to-customer, B2B, B2C

1. INTRODUCTION

Electronic Commerce (E-commerce) is defined as the ability to perform transactions involving the exchange of goods or services between two or more parties using electronic tools and techniques (Economist 2000). In recent years, this technology has caused significant changes in how business is conducted in many organizations. E-commerce includes the functions of information exchange and commercial transaction over telecommunication networks linking partners. The concept originated in the form of Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) on value-added networks with rigid and relatively complex specifications, and it tended to be imposed by large organizations upon their smaller suppliers (Raymond and Bergero 1996). This mutation in commercial relationships and transactions also happened within small and medium-sized enterprises (Bloch 1996). As Simon (1999) observed, small businesses are a special case, as they are often less structured and less formal with fewer fixed procedures. Many small businesses do not possess the technological background and information infrastructure that could enable them to operate more effectively or cost-efficiently (Poon and Swatman, 1999).

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are large employers and their activities have had a profound impact on the economy (Bradford 2002). The

Table 1: Technology Architecture
for Electronic Commerce
E-commerce Technology Services
Application Services
- Business to consumer
- Business to business
- Internal business processes
Data Management
 Interactive order processing
 Secure payment systems
 Clearinghouses for virtual malls
Interface Services
 Interactive catalogs
 Directory support functions
- Software agents
Secure Messaging
 Secure hypertext transfer protocol
 Encrypted E-mail and Electronic
data interchange
 Remote programming interface
Middle-ware Services
 Hypermedia document management
 Web/legacy systems interface
Network Infrastructure
 The Internet, intranet, and extranet
 Client/server and other networks
<i>Source: Management Information Systems by James A. O' Brien (4th ed. 1999</i>

World Wide Web offers exciting new opportunities for SMEs to extend their customer base into the global marketplace (OECD 1993). The reasons for the participation of small businesses in the electronic market are as varied as the businesses themselves (Fraser 2000). However, according to Auger and Gallaugher (1997), the primary drivers appear to include an affluent customer base, lower information dissemination costs, lower transaction costs, a broader market reach, and the opportunity to provide increased service through more readily available and timely consumer and market databases.

On the negative side, small businesses must be concerned about issues such as controlling site usage, maintaining security, and gaining access to adequate and competitive business tools in order to generate return on their investments. Overall, small businesses do not seem to have a clear picture of Internet technology and its possible applications in business.

2. BACKGROUND

Electronic commerce is a new way to conduct business that affects not only firms' internal busi-

ness activities, but also requires new kinds of coordination with clients and other institutions. Table 1 outlines the technology service architecture for Ecommerce. This architecture serves as an organizing framework for the range of services that must be provided to support a company's electronic commerce systems. In this framework, Ecommerce applications involve six layers of information technology services: application services, brokerage and data management, interface services, secure messaging, middle-ware services, and network infrastructure.

According to the Assistant Administrator for Technology for the U. S. Small Business Administration (SBA 2002), many small businesses are being formed specifically to address this newfound opportunity. Earlier, the SBA Office of Advocacy noted that, "Internet sales account for less than 1% of total retail sales in the U. S. economy" but "online retail marketing is showing about 200% annual growth" (SBA 1999). However, small businesses are often less structured and formal, with fewer fixed procedures and are less likely to have their own IT departments, with resident expertise (Simon 1999).

According to a study done by the International Data Corporation (IDC, 2000), there were 7.2 million small businesses in the United States in 1997. Of these businesses, 78% owned at least one personal computer, of which 39% had access to the Inter-Significant numbers of small businesses net. started engaging in E-commerce in 1997, and gradually, the number of wired small businesses has more than doubled. Those that have adopted E-commerce enjoy higher revenues and faster growth than their counterparts. The average yearly revenue for all small businesses was approximately \$2.7 million, but for those engaged in electronic commerce the average was about \$3.8 million (IDC 1998).

According to the Aberdeen Group (John 2000), 850,000 new small businesses are created each year and 66% of all new jobs are created in this sector. Small businesses account for 50% to 55% of all spending on indirect materials and services, including everything from computers to cleaning supplies. The SBA estimates that there are more than 25 million small businesses in the United States (SBA, 2002). However, the opportunities available on the Internet are not accessible to all possible users. Most small businesses are flexible and adapt to changes readily, but they also suffer from a lack of resources of various kinds. Many either do not possess the appropriate technological

background or may not perceive the ways in which such an information infrastructure could enable them to operate their businesses more efficiently or cost-effectively.

Technical problems concerning connectivity and owner/operator commitment are an important, if not critical, factor for success. These technical problems can become potentially insuperable barriers for small businesses wishing to use E-commerce for business activities and operations (Fuller and Jenkins 1995). However, these constraints have lessened through the substantial increase in the business activities of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) and Internet Presence Providers (IPPs).

Recent literature on training and development indicates the adequacy of technical skills as a preliminary barrier for increasing the use of E-commerce by small businesses. One research study conducted in Queensland focused on small businesses and more than 90% of the participants were the business owners. All participants believed that electronic commerce necessitated the expenditure of large amounts of money for the hardware, software, advice and skills development. Further study indicates that there is no clear understanding of the scale of costs involved or the potential return on investment (Bradford 2002).

E-commerce innovations aim to reduce the cost of procurement before, during and after each transaction. However, search costs can be significant, relative to the value of the product, particularly for small purchases. Lehman Brothers found that a financial transaction costs \$1.27 for a teller, \$0.27 for an automated teller machine (ATM) and \$0.01 online (Economist 2000). Average online brokerage fees have been reduced to less than \$5, versus traditional discount brokerage fees exceeding \$50. Therefore there is a significant potential decrease in costs for back-office operations and brokerage transactions financial exchanges. By lowering the costs of transactions, E-commerce will change not only operating costs but also the characteristics and scope of feasible transactions (Daniel 2000).

3. METHODOLOGY

This study applied a data-driven approach and used the available data to identify variables for small business and E-commerce, its implementation, and factors leading to success and failure. Experts were chosen based on their knowledge and published opinions in books, academic publications, and trade or business journals. The period of the search was from 1998 through March 2003. However, the US Department of Commerce data for the year 2002 was not yet available. The information resources included three academic databases, a government site, and five industry online web databases as indicated below:

- Dissertation Abstracts 1995 2003 .
- The ERIC Database 1995 2003
- . Applied Science and Technology
- . Proquest database
- Census.gov
- Brint.com .
- Businessweek.com
- Business2.com
- Netobjects.com
- Sba.gov

The data set included the sales from traditional and electronic commerce for the same type of businesses in the same time period. A total of sixteen business types were investigated, focusing on business-to-business (B2B) and business-to-consumer (B2C) electronic commerce. The products were categorized into two main groups: durable and non-durable. Each category contained eight different types of businesses.

Research Issues Examined

Eight research issues were formulated and examined in this study:

- 1. What percentage of small businesses with Internet access had a Net presence, either a home page or web site?
- 2. What percentage of small businesses with Internet access actually engaged in Ecommerce?
- 3. What percentage of the reviewed B2B small businesses, that sold durable goods, engaged in E-commerce and what was their success rate? Success is defined as an annual revenue increase.
- 4. What percentage of the reviewed B2B small businesses that sold non-durable goods was engaged in E-commerce and what was their success rate?
- What percentage of the reviewed B2C small 5. businesses that sold durable goods was engaged in E-commerce and what was their success rate?
- What percentage of the reviewed B2C small 6. businesses that sold non-durable goods was engaged in E-commerce and what was their success rate?

		D	Table 2			<u> </u>	1)	
U.S. Small B	<u>usinesses –</u>	Durabi	e goods B2E	s (i otal a	and E-comm	herce Sa	les)	
Product				Value of	Revenue		1	
	1998		1999)	2000)	2001	
Durable goods	Total	Total E-C To		E-C	Total	E-C	Total	E-C
	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales	
Motor vehicle and parts	173240	29533	196078	37046	199622	40127	203156	44124
Furniture and home furnish-	40423	N/A	42660	2575	46710	2830	44385	3910
ings								
Construction materials	63660	1432	71451	1974	70778	2187	73553	2236
Professional / commercial	254067	16077	274373	23994	269129	30832	249981	30904
quip								
Computer Hardware/ software	150784	8432	161489	16366	150402	18948	122458	15776
Electrical goods	186720	3728	207976	8834	240013	11087	213767	12342
Plumbing / heating Equip	60352	4326	63378	5904	67084	5977	64813	6487
Machinery equipment	242530	N/A	244509	7105	252365	7592	243327	7948
Miscellaneous	147652	2343	158988	9371	174078	12901	161584	14124
Total Retail Revenue	1319428	65871	1420902	113169	1470181	132481	1377024	137851
Source:	U.S. Census I	Bureau .	Annual Trade	e Survey.	Sales are in	millions.	•	
N/A	1: Data not a	vailable	, did not mee	t publica	tion standard	ls.		

- 7. What percentage of all reviewed small businesses that sold both durable and non-durable goods was engaged in E-commerce?
- 8. What does an analysis, of all of the above, indicate about the types of businesses that are most suitable for E-commerce?

Method of Analysis and Presentation

A simple synthesized procedure was used to tally and evaluate the outcomes. Tables 2, 3, 6, and 7 were used to tabulate the data for the whole sale (B2B) types of businesses, while Tables 4, 5, 8, and 9 were used for the retail businesses (B2C). Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 were used to tabulate the total sales and E-commerce sales for 1998 to 2001. Tables, 6, 7, 8 and 9 were derived using data from the previous tables to compute the percentage of revenue change for each type of business on an annual basis. This data was used to identify the year-to-year percentage revenue change for each type of business both for the total sales and Ecommerce.

4. FINDINGS

The data set related to businesses and electronic commerce was collected from the Census Bureau and the International Data Corporation web site. These facts were then synthesized and organized into tabular forms for analysis and presentation. Other information was then gathered from the Small Business Administration, in the form of white papers, and from expert academicians and industry practitioners.

Outcomes of Processes

Sixteen different types of businesses were investigated, focusing on business-to-business and business to consumer E-commerce types. These businesses were categorized into two main groups, based on the product or service provided: either durable or non-durable goods. Each category consisted of eight business types.

Table 2 consists of the B2B revenue data collected for durable goods, 1998 to 2001. As stated above, the 2002 data should be available at a later date and contribute to future studies. As this table shows, motor vehicles and parts, computer hard and software, and electrical goods businesses utilized online business more than the others. Their online annual sales have been increasing steadily.

Table 3 consists of the B2B revenue data collected for non-durable goods, 1998 - 2001. There is a steady increase in revenue sales from electronic commerce for the following types of businesses: books, clothing and medicine.

Table 4 consists of Retail revenue collected for Durable goods for the years 1998 through 2001. The available data indicates that consumers patronized more of the following types of businesses: motor vehicle and parts, furniture, computer software and electronics.

Table 5 shows B2C revenue for non-durable goods during 1998 - 2001. More consumers patronized

© 2004 EDSIG

Small	Businesse	s – Non-di	Table 3 urable B2B	} (Total an	d F-comme	erce Sales)		
Product	Dubinesse			/alue of	Revenue		/		
	19	98	19	99	20	00	2001		
Non-durable Goods	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	
Paper and paper prod- ucts	71217	1322	75034	2355	80054	3473	75516	3708	
Drugs	124564	37705	146183	52197	168454	77790	201299	96754	
Apparel	84190	3484	85677	7123	88922	10284	87362	11840	
Groceries and related products	344437	N/A	360268	4856	381990	11435	395590	12101	
Farm products	107993	N/A	101648	3196	107121	3228	107389	3356	
Chemical and allied products	55073	N/A	55129	N/A	58976	N/A	59004	N/A	
Petroleum products	116397	N/A	136352	N/A	185911	N/A	180471	N/A	
Beer, wine/ alcoholic	61822	N/A	67384	N/A	71551	N/A	75274	N/A	
Miscellaneous	149655	N/A	158040	4508	175589	5033	176007	5116	
Total non-Durable Goods	1115348	42511	1185715	74235	1318568	111243	1357912	132875	
Overall total Wholesale trade (Table 2 & 3)	2434776	108382	2606617	187404	2788749	243724	2734936	270726	
	Source	: U.S. Cen	sus Bureau	Annual 1	rade Surve	'Y			
Small I	Bucinesses	– Durable		1 C (Total ar	nd E_comm	orco Salo	c)		
Product			goous bzt				5)		
FIGULE	10	00	10			20	200	1	

Product	value of Revenue										
	19	98	19	99	20	00	2001				
Durable goods	Total	E-C	Total	E-C	Total	E-C	Total	E-C			
	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales				
Motor vehicle and parts	699552	N/A	779981	1794	816902	4296	839971	5372			
Furniture and home	78576	N/A	90705	288	96815	979	98052	1659			
furnish											
Building material	243494	N/A	264194	N/A	276533	447	288972	525			
Computer Hardware	N/A	N/A	23016	4224	26462	6128	22134	5678			
Computer Software	N/A	N/A	2670	768	3568	1107	3994	1208			
Electronics and appli-	N/A	N/A	2611	464	3338	1080	3718	1448			
ances											
Other merchandise	N/A	N/A	14776	947	15933	1863	16142	3062			
Total Durable Retail	1021622	N/A	1177953	8485	1239551	15900	1272983	18952			
Sales											
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Retail Trade Survey										

the following type of businesses: medications, clothing and office equipment and supplies.

Table 6 illustrates the year-to-year change in B2B revenue for durable goods, 1998 to 2001. This table was generated from Table 2 by calculating the difference in revenue change in total sales and E-commerce for each business. The table reveals that a higher percentage of small businesses selling durable goods are engaged in E-commerce.

Table 7 shows the year-to- year revenue change in B2B for non-durable goods, 1998 through 2001.

This table, generated from Table 3, evaluates the revenue change between the years, both in traditional and electronic commerce. By calculating the difference in revenue percentage change in total sales and E-commerce for each business, it is revealed that a higher percentage of these businesses are engaged in E-commerce more than in traditional commerce.

Table 8 illustrates year-to-year revenue changes in B2C durable goods during 1998 - 2001. This table was generated from Table 4, by calculating the difference in revenue percentage change in total

Small Bu	sinesses – N	on-dui	Tab able l	ole 5 32C (To	otal and	d E–cor	nmerc	e Sale	s		
Product				V	alue o	f Reve	nue		-		
	1998	;		1999			2000			2001	
Non-durable Goods	Total Sales	E- C	Tota Sale	nl Is	E-C	Total Sales		E-C	Total Sales		E-C
Food, Beer, Wine and Bev- erages	421578	B N/A	4	142771	233	46	51976	566	48	3256	44
Drugs Health and personal care	130234	1 N/A		156807	232	17	1915	673	18	4412	95
Gasoline stations	191750) N/A	2	208908	N/A	24	6038	N/A	24	4664	N/
Clothing and accessories	149443	3 N/A	-	172548	914	18	32626	2313	18	2050	361
Sporting goods	69459	9 N/A		73909	262	7	7673	400	7	9007	50
General merchandise	351799	9 N/A		382564	N/A	40	5978	N/A	42	9812	N//
Books and magazines	N/#	A N/A		3480	1604		4115	1833		3864	174
Music and videos	N/A	N/A N/A		4335	809	809 4393		1186	3980		131
Office equipment and supplies	N/#	A N/A		7285	610		6936	1439		6454	198
Toys and games	N/#	N/A		2255	398		3146	842		3035	92
Total Non-durable goods	1314263	3 N/A	14	154862	5062	156	64796	9252	162	0534	1150
Small Bus	inesses Dura	able Go	Tat oods E	ole 6 32B (Ye	ar to Y	ear % :	Sales	Chang	e)		
Product		199	8-19	99	19	99-200	00	2	000-20	001	
Durable goods	T	'otal S	ales	E-C	Total	Sales	E-C	Total	Sales	E-C	
Motor vehicle and part	S		13.2	25.4		1.8	8.3		1.8	10.	0
Furniture and home fu	rnishings		5.5	N/A		9.5	9.9		(5.0)	38.	2
Construction materials			12.2	37.8		(0.9)	10.8		3.9	2.	2
Professional/commerci	al equip.		8.0	49.2		(1.9)	28.5		(7.1)	0.	2
Computer equipment /	software		7.1	94.1		(6.9)	15.8		(19.2)	(16.7	')
Electrical goods			11.4	136.9		15.4	25.5		(10.9)	11.	3
Plumbing / heating equ	uipment		5.0	36.5		5.8	1.2		(3.5)	8.	5
Machinery equipment			0.8	N/A		3.2	6.9		3.6	4.	7
Miscellaneous			7.7	300		9.5	37.7		(7.2)	9.	5
Total durable goods			7.7	71.8		3.5	17.1		(6.3)	4.	1

sales and electronic commerce for each business. A sizeable number of consumers do business online from the comfort of their homes or offices. The percentage in revenue changes for E-commerce is much higher than that from traditional commerce, thus indicating a good success rate.

Total durable goods

Table 9 consists of the year-to-year revenue changes in B2C non-durable goods for the years 1998 through 2001. This table was generated from Table 5, by calculating the difference in revenue percentage changes in total sales and Ecommerce for each business. A high percentage of the businesses in non-durable goods engaged in Ecommerce; the increase in revenue shows a high success rate in E-commerce. Only gas stations and general merchandise goods did not have available data.

Summary of Findings

Eight research issues were formulated and examined in this research:

- The first research issue was to identify the . percentage of small businesses with online access that has an Internet presence. As Figure 1 shows, about 60% of small businesses with online access have a Net presence, either a homepage or a web site.
- The second research issue was to find the percentage of small businesses with Internet access that were engaged in electronic commerce. Figure 1 shows that of the 60% of small businesses with online access, only about one-half sell goods online.

Products	1998-1	999	1999-2	000	2000-2001		
Non-durable goods	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	
Paper and paper products	5.4	78.1	6.7	47.5	(5.7)	6.8	
Drugs	17.4	38.4	15.2	49.0	19.5	24.4	
Apparel	1.8	104.4	3.8	44.4	(1.8)	15.1	
Groceries and related products	4.6	N/A	6.0	135.5	3.6	5.8	
Farm products	(5.9)	N/A	5.4	1.0	0.3	4.0	
Chemical and allied products	0.1	N/A	7.0	N/A	0.1	N/A	
Petroleum products	17.1	N/A	36.3	N/A	(2.9)	N/A	
Beer, wine / alcoholic drinks	9.0	N/A	6.2	N/A	5.2	N/A	
Miscellaneous non-durable goods	5.6	N/A	11.1	11.6	0.2	1.6	
Total non-durable goods	6.3	74.6	11.2	49.9	3.0	19.4	
Overall total Wholesale Revenue (Table 2 & 3)	7.1	72.9	7.0	30.1	(2.0)	11.1	

Table 8									
Small Businesses – Durable Goods B2C (Year to Year % Sales change).									
Products	1998-19	99	1999-20	00	2000-2001				
Durable goods	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C	Total Sales	E-C			
Motor vehicle and parts	11.5	N/A	4.7	139.5	2.8	25.0			
Furniture and home furnishings	15.4	N/A	6.7	240	1.3	69.5			
Construction materials	8.5	N/A	4.7	N/A	4.5	17.4			
Computer hardware	N/A	N/A	15.0	45.1	(16.4)	(7.3)			
Computer software	N/A	N/A	33.6	44.1	11.9	9.1			
Electronics and appliances	N/A	N/A	27.8	132.8	11.4	34.1			
Miscellaneous	N/A	N/A	7.8	96.7	1.3	64.4			
Total Durable goods Revenue	15.3	N/A	5.2	87.4	2.7	19.2			

- The third research issue was to find what percentage of B2B dealing with durable goods was engaged in E-commerce. Review of the available data (in Table 2) indicates that *all* the selected B2B types selling durable goods engage in E-commerce. The annual changes in total and E-commerce sales of this type of business shows Table 6.
- The fourth issue was to identify what percentage of B2Bs, selling non-durable goods, was engaged in E-commerce. As Table 3 shows, about 67% of these types of businesses were using E-commerce. Table 7 depicts the annual changes in total and E-commerce portion of these types of businesses.
- The fifth issue was to identify what percentage of B2C type businesses, selling durable goods, engaged in E-commerce. As Table 4 shows, the selected B2C types selling durable goods are more involved in E-commerce as Table 8 illustrates this through the annual sales changes.

- The sixth issue was to identify the percentage of B2C type businesses, selling non-durable goods, were engaged in E-commerce. As Table 5 shows, only two of these business types were not involved in E-commerce during 1998-2001. Table 9 shows the annual changes of these type of businesses.
- The seventh issue was to identify what percentage of all reviewed businesses was engaged in E-commerce. Of the 32 businesses reviewed, 27 or 84% had E-commerce revenue (Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5.)
- The eighth issue was to synthesize all of the above to identify the businesses with the best E-commerce potential. The analysis revealed that not all businesses can readily adapt to Ecommerce. The potentially successful Ecommerce businesses are those with a steady annual increase in revenue with respect to the total sales changes. These businesses include all of those in the B2B and B2C types businesses that are selling non-durable goods.

Draducta			1000 20				
Non-durable goods	Total Sales	F-C	1999-20 Total Sales	00 F-C	Z000-Z001		
Food, Beer, Wine and beverages	5.0	N/A	4.3	143	4.6	(21.0)	
Drugs, Health and Professional care	20.4	Ń/A	9.6	190	7.3	41.5	
Gasoline stations	8.9	N/A	17.8	N/A	(0.6)	N/A	
Clothing and accessories	15.5	N/A	5.8	153	0.3	56.5	
Sporting goods	6.4	N/A	5.1	52.7	1.7	26.3	
General merchandise stores	8.7	N/A	6.1	N/A	5.9	N/A	
Books and magazines	N/A	N/A	18.2	14.3	(6.1)	(4.6)	
Music and videos	N/A	N/A	1.3	46.6	(9.4)	11.1	
Office equipment and supplies	N/A	N/A	(4.8)	135.9	(6.9)	38.1	
Toys and games	N/A	N/A	39.5	111.6	(3.5)	10.3	
Total Non-durable goods Revenue	10.6	N/A	7.6	82.8	3.6	24.4	

Finally, this study investigated the overall annual percentage changes in total and in E-commerce for B2B and B2C types. This includes the selling of both durable and non-durable goods. The results were presented in Figures 2 and 3 using the following acronyms:

percentage changes in total sales. Even during the 2000-01 period when there was a serious decline in total sales, the E-commerce portion managed to stay on the positive side. Figure 3 confirms the same results for B2C businesses.



Figure 1: Access and Online Activities

- TDS Total Durable Sales
- TNDS Total Non-Durable Sales
- TDEC Total E-Commerce Sales
- TNDEC Total Non-Durable E-Commerce Sales

As Figure 2 shows, the percentage of the changes in annual sales in E-commerce is much higher than



Figure 2: Annual Changes in B2B Businesses

However, the lack of data in 1998-99 indicates that B2C businesses are more cautious in adopting this new approach.



Figure 3: Annual Changes in B2C Businesses

5. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this research was to investigate the success and failure rates of small businesses that engaged in electronic commerce by evaluating their sales performance. Based on the results reported, the study found that more businesses are adopting E-commerce each year and actively using the Internet to reach new markets. However, further analysis revealed that not all businesses can readily adapt to E-commerce environment and discover ways that it could enhance their businesses. The potentially successful E-commerce businesses are those with steady annual increase in revenue with respect to the total sales changes. These businesses include those in B2B type selling durable goods and the B2C type selling selective non-durable goods.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under the PESMaCT Grant HRD-0102620.

6. **REFERENCES**

Auger, P. and J.M. Gallaugher (1997). "Factors Affecting The Adoption of an Internet-based Sales Presence for Small Businesses." The Information Society, 13(1), 55-74.

- Bloch, M. and A. Segev (1996). "The Impact of Electronic Commerce on the Travel Industry: An Analysis Methodology and Case Study." Working paper, New York University.
- Bradford, H. Darch, and T. Lucas (2002,) "Training as an e-Commerce Enabler." Journal of Workplace Learning, 14, pp. 148-155.
- Daniel, F.S. and L. David (2000 June). "Businessto-Business Electronic Commerce." Journal of Economic Perspectives.
- The Economist (2000). April, 64-66.
- Fraser, J., N. Fraser, and F. McDonald (2000). "The Strategic Challenge of Electronic Commerce." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 5(1), 7-14.
- Fuller, T. and A. Jenkins (1995 April). "Public Intervention in Entrepreneurial Innovation and Opportunism: Short Cuts or Detours to the Information Superhighway?" Babson Entrepreneurship Conference, London Business School, London.
- IDC (1998). "Small businesses: Are They Ready for e-Commerce" <u>http://www.findarticles.com/</u> <u>cf_dls/m3311/8_33/54115925/print.jhtml</u>
- IDC (2000). "E-commerce Market Forecasts" <u>http://www.nau.ie/surveys</u>.
- John, F.I. (2000, November). "There's No Business like Small Business." Upside publishing Company, Foster City.
- OECD (1993). "Small and Medium-sized Enterprises: Technology and Competitiveness." OECD publication services.
- Poon, S. and P.M.C. Swantman (1999). "An Exploratory Study of Small Business Internet Commerce Issue." Information and Management 35(1) 9-18.
- Raymond, L. and F. Bergoeron (1996). "EDI Success in Small and Medium-sized Enterprises: a Field Study." Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce, 6(2), 161-72.
- SBA (1999). "E-commerce: Small Businesses Venture Online." U.S. SBA Office of Advocacy.
- SBA (2002). "Strategies for Small Business Success," U. S. Census Bureau.
- Simon, G. (1999). August, "E-commerce for Small Businesses" <u>http://www.simongrant.rg/pubs/</u> iec99/small.html

U. S. Department of Commerce (2002). "E-Stats." Census Bureau Annual Trade Survey. <u>http://www.census.gov/estats</u>



Ghasem S. Alijani is a professor of Computer Information Systems at Southern University at New Orleans. He received his PhD in Computer Science from Wayne Sate University. His research interests include Adaptive Learning Process, Distributed Information Systems, Networking and Security, Decision Support Systems, and E-Commerce. He is a member of ACM, AGU, EDSIG, and AITP.

Nnenna Amugo is a graduate student in Computer Information Systems at Southern University at

New Orleans. She received her BS in Analytical Chemistry from University of Science and Technology in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Her research activities include Security and E-Commerce.





Samuel Eweni is an Assistant Professor and Acting Director of CIS/GSP at Southern University at

New Orleans. He received a BS from Texas Tech University Lubbock, MBA from East Texas State and MS from Southern University Baton Rouge. His research interests are in Digital Divide and International Internet Access including Africa.

J. Steven Welsh is a Professor of Computer Information Systems

(CIS) at Southern University at New Orleans. He received his Ph.D. from Tulane University. His research interests focus on E-Commerce, System Analysis and the application of Computer and Tele-Communications Technology in Business and Education organizations, Large Scale Systems, and Statistical Applications for Decision Making.





Boumediene Belkhouche is

professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at Tulane University. His areas of interests are Object-Oriented Design, Mobile Computing, and Ecommerce Modeling. He is member of ACM and IEEE. He can be reached at <u>bb@eecs.tulane.edu</u>.