



ISSN: 1545-679X

Information Systems Education Journal

Volume 7, Number 95

<http://isedj.org/7/95/>

October 22, 2009

In this issue:

Expanding Flexible Models of Service Learning in Information Systems Curricula

James P. Lawler

Pace University
New York, New York 10038 USA

Anthony Joseph

Pace University
New York, New York 10038 USA

Abstract: Educators in information systems continue to be challenged with a decline in the enrollment of students. The study analyzes an approach in community engagement for attracting students into the information systems field. Focused on an analysis of a Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies course at a major metropolitan university, this study discusses the impact of customized design of information systems outreach. Findings of higher engagement and higher impact of students continue to indicate the importance of flexibly integrating service learning courses in information systems curricula, but also is beginning to indicate improved interest of non-information systems and information systems students in the information systems field. This study may benefit educators considering further adoption of service learning as a core course if not a marketable feature of information systems curricula for undergraduate students.

Keywords: Community Engagement, Information Systems Education, Non-Profit Organizations, Outreach, Service Learning

Recommended Citation: Lawler and Joseph (2009). Expanding Flexible Models of Service Learning in Information Systems Curricula. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 7 (95). <http://isedj.org/7/95/>. ISSN: 1545-679X. (A preliminary version appears in *The Proceedings of ISECON 2008*: §2724. ISSN: 1542-7382.)

This issue is on the Internet at <http://isedj.org/7/95/>

The **Information Systems Education Journal** (ISEDJ) is a peer-reviewed academic journal published by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP, Chicago, Illinois). • ISSN: 1545-679X. • First issue: 8 Sep 2003. • Title: Information Systems Education Journal. Variants: IS Education Journal; ISEDJ. • Physical format: online. • Publishing frequency: irregular; as each article is approved, it is published immediately and constitutes a complete separate issue of the current volume. • Single issue price: free. • Subscription address: subscribe@isedj.org. • Subscription price: free. • Electronic access: <http://isedj.org/> • Contact person: Don Colton (editor@isedj.org)

2009 AITP Education Special Interest Group Board of Directors

Don Colton Brigham Young Univ Hawaii EDSIG President 2007-2008	Thomas N. Janicki Univ NC Wilmington EDSIG President 2009	Kenneth A. Grant Ryerson University Vice President 2009
Kathleen M. Kelm Edgewood College Treasurer 2009	Wendy Ceccucci Quinnipiac Univ Secretary 2009	Alan R. Peslak Penn State Membership 2009 CONISAR Chair 2009
Steve Reames Angelo State Univ Director 2008-2009	Michael A. Smith High Point Director 2009	George S. Nezelek Grand Valley State Director 2009-2010
Li-Jen Shannon Sam Houston State Director 2009-2010	Patricia Sendall Merrimack College Director 2009-2010	Albert L. Harris Appalachian St JISE Editor
		Paul M. Leidig Grand Valley State University ISECON Chair 2009

Information Systems Education Journal Editors

Don Colton Brigham Young U Hawaii Editor	Thomas Janicki Univ NC Wilmington Associate Editor	Alan Peslak Penn State University Associate Editor
--	--	--

Information Systems Education Journal 2008-2009 Editorial and Review Board

Samuel Abraham, Siena Heights	Cynthia Martincic, St Vincent Coll	Michael Smith, High Point Univ
Ronald Babin, Ryerson Univ	George Nezelek, Grand Valley St U	Karthikeyan Umapathy, UNFlorida
Sharen Bakke, Cleveland St	Monica Parzinger, St Mary's Univ	Stuart Varden, Pace University
Wendy Ceccucci, Quinnipiac U	Don Petkov, E Conn State Univ	Laurie Werner, Miami University
Janet Helwig, Dominican Univ	Steve Reames, Angelo State Univ	Bruce White, Quinnipiac University
Scott Hunsinger, Appalachian St	Jack Russell, Northwestern St U	Belle Woodward, So Illinois Univ
Kathleen Kelm, Edgewood Coll	Patricia Sendall, Merrimack Coll	Charles Woratschek, Robert Morris
Frederick Kohun, Robert Morris	Li-Jen Shannon, Sam Houston St	Peter Y. Wu, Robert Morris Univ
Terri Lenox, Westminster		Kuo-pao Yang, Southeastern LA U

EDSIG activities include the publication of ISEDJ and JISAR, the organization and execution of the annual ISECON and CONISAR conferences held each fall, the publication of the Journal of Information Systems Education (JISE), and the designation and honoring of an IS Educator of the Year. • The Foundation for Information Technology Education has been the key sponsor of ISECON over the years. • The Association for Information Technology Professionals (AITP) provides the corporate umbrella under which EDSIG operates.

© Copyright 2009 EDSIG. In the spirit of academic freedom, permission is granted to make and distribute unlimited copies of this issue in its PDF or printed form, so long as the entire document is presented, and it is not modified in any substantial way.

Expanding Flexible Models of Service Learning in Information Systems Curricula

James Lawler
lawlerj@aol.com

Anthony Joseph
Pace University
163 William Street
New York, New York 10038 USA

Abstract

Educators in information systems continue to be challenged with a decline in the enrollment of students. The study analyzes an approach in community engagement for attracting students into the information systems field. Focused on an analysis of a *Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies* course at a major metropolitan university, this study discusses the impact of customized design of information systems outreach. Findings of higher engagement and higher impact of students continue to indicate the importance of flexibly integrating service learning courses in information systems curricula, but also is beginning to indicate improved interest of non-information systems and information systems students in the information systems field. This study may benefit educators considering further adoption of service learning as a core course if not a marketable feature of information systems curricula for undergraduate students.

Keywords: Community Engagement, Information Systems Education, Non-Profit Organizations, Outreach, Service Learning

1. BACKGROUND

Enrollments of students choosing computer science or information systems as a field of study continue to decline in the country (Russell, Russell and Pollacia, 2007). Estimates of the decline are from 75% to 25% in schools of information systems and computer science (George, Valacich and Valor, 2005). From 2005 to 2007 the decrease of students entering computer science is greater than the decrease from 2001 to 2005 (Zweben, 2005). Generation of degrees in computer information systems and computer science decreased from 15,000+ granted in 2001 to 10,000+ in 2007 (Zweben). Educators in schools of computer science and information systems are confronted with the decrease in enrollment during a concurrent and increased demand by industry for infor-

mation systems and computer science undergraduate students (Longo, 2006).

In considering enhancement to curricula in order to increase the number of students in information systems, educators could improve the currency of the curricula by ensuring that courses in the schools correspond to the demand by industry (Granger, Dick, Jacobson and Van Slyke, 2007, p. 306-307). They could alternately or concurrently improve the existing curricula by integrating other disciplines or secondary programs of study (Granger, Dick, Jacobson and Van Slyke, p. 307). These programs could increase the number of declared information systems students and general non-information systems students who may become declared students in the schools of information systems. Such programs may be marketed in partnership with other

schools of a university. Educators in information systems might furnish a new positioning of their schools so that general non-information systems students re-consider information systems as a field of study.

Integrating secondary disciplines in curricula of information systems might include service learning programs. Service learning is a credit-bearing educational experience that engages students in meaningful organized projects that help in meeting the issues and needs of non-profit organizations (Bringle and Hatcher, 2000). Service learning enhances the experiences of the students in the needs of the organizations and of responsible citizenship in society. Learning is improved in introspective perceptual and cognitive reflection of the service by the students (Dunlap, 2006 & Petkus, 2000). Service learning is an existent program in about 1,000 universities in the United States (Spence, 2004). Literature indicates that methods of service learning are applied to diverse disciplines (Zlotkowski, 2001) that include information systems (Guthrie and Navarrette, 2003). Methods can consist of projects based on content of a course, exploration of issues in non-profit organizations, problems of and solutions in the organizations, research studies of society, and special services of the university (Heffernan, 2001). Service learning projects in an information systems course can empower students from learning the problems of the non-profit organizations and the potential solutions through information systems. Cognitive engagement on the projects can lead to reflective citizenship and responsible if not social sensitivity of the students (Kolb, 1984) as individual contributors or members of teams. Professional soft skills, such as partnership, problem solving, socializing, team-playing and thinking, learned on projects of service learning are marketable to employers desiring graduates in information systems who are not purely technical (Janicki and Kline, 2004 & Tarracone and Luca, 2002).

Studies in the literature clearly abound with the benefits of projects in service learning courses (Berson and Younkin, 1998) that are inevitably altruistic in nature. The benefits of these projects in service learning courses in information systems curricula are dependent on the customization of the courses to the organizations, schools, and students

(Lawler and Li, 2005). Design of service learning courses for learning of the students and for relevant service to the organizations is different if not difficult for educators than established courses in the information systems curriculum (Howard, 1998; Rosenberger, 2000; & Wei, Siow and Burley, 2007). Experimentation is frequent on projects in information systems service learning courses in order to have learning and service. Information systems service learning courses due to enrollment or non-enrollment conditions may include diverse non-senior or senior students who may or may not be majoring in information systems and may not have immediate skills in systems, which might impact outcomes. Flexibility in designing information systems courses in service learning continues to be cited as important in the benefits and outcomes of projects that may impact not only the extent of learning and service of students but also their interest in learning more about information systems. Few studies have analyzed the flexibility of information systems courses in service learning in schools of information systems.

2. INTRODUCTION

In this study, the authors analyze learning and service in a custom designed *Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies* course, at the Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems of Pace University in New York City, in conjunction with the Center for Community Outreach of the university. The course engages students in a project for helping the Association for the Help of Retarded Citizens (AHRC NYC) in the development of an intranet portal system. The goal of the project is for internal counselors and administrators to post information on events in the offices of the organization and for individuals with intellectual disability to potentially post and share personal art on the portal, problems at AHRC NYC but a project with real requirements for serious students (Martincic, 2007). The course began in the fall semester 2007 with a group of students and continued with another group in the spring 2008 semester in the information systems curricula of the school, but the other schools of the university could have non-information systems students in the course for the convenience of the stu-

dents, as such courses are considered core knowledge requirements of the university. This course continues flexible *Web Design for Non-Profit Organizations* courses in service learning initiated by the principal author of the study in the school of information systems in 2003 – 2004.

The *Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies* course in the 2007 and 2008 semesters consisted of 41 students, 3-5 individuals of AHRC NYC with intellectual disability, and 3 administrators of AHRC NYC. The students were aged 18-20 (29%), 21-22 (43%), 23-24 (21%), and 25+ (7%) and were 64% female and 36% male in the consolidated semesters. They were 24% freshman, 48% sophomore, 21% junior, and 7% senior students. They were largely not exposed to individuals with intellectual disability or to bona fide service learning (88%) when they joined the course. Few of them in the 2008 - 2007 semesters (9%) were initially interested or majoring in computer science or information systems, in contrast to those in 2005 (41%) and 2004 – 2003 (56%) in *Web Design for Non-Profit Organizations*, as indicated in Table 1.

The principal author of this study who is the instructor of this course in 2007 and 2008 and of the courses in the 2005 – 2003 semesters continues to be confronted by the mix of students, which is continuing to be lower if not non-existent in the number of information systems students. Though the number of non-information systems students in the course in the 2007 and 2008 semesters contributes to a higher number of students counted by the school of information systems, it is difficult designing projects for students not having education in information systems, other than a fundamental introduction to computers, so that they may effectively help non-profit organizations with information systems. The lower number of undergraduate students in the semesters was moreover not initially interested in the service learning theme. The study analyzes the design methods of the instructor for managing such a mix of non-information systems students in this information systems service learning course. This study contributes evidence that flexibility in the design methods is both facilitating outcomes in learning and service with information systems and enabling a foundation for enticing

non-information systems students into further study of information systems.

3. FOCUS OF STUDY

The focus of the study is to analyze the impact of customizing the design of the *Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies* course on engaging students in service and in a solution of information systems. The research from this study contributes input into designing core information systems service learning courses for non-information systems students so that not only the non-profit organizations may be helped by the projects in the courses, but also the students might be further interested in information systems. This study expands earlier research on reflections of students on service and on information systems at Pace University. The research of this new study may be helpful to information systems instructors at other institutions in evaluating flexible methods, for integrating service learning courses into curricula that eventually might increase the number of students in information systems as a field of study, and for otherwise reinvigorating information systems teaching. This study is timely.

4. CASE STUDY

Course

Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies is a course for 3 credits in the Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems of Pace University that consists of the below objectives and outcomes:

- Describe comfortably the concept and the practice of community engagement;
- Describe the functions of the Association for the Help of Retarded Children (AHRC NYC) in serving individuals with intellectual disability in downtown New York;
- Explain and example interactions encountered by AHRC NYC in serving individuals with intellectual disability in an economically constrained climate in the city and the state New York and needs of the individuals in interactions with society;
- Implement a project furnishing the potential of solutions of Web-based infor-

mation systems and technologies that may help AHRC NYC in orchestrating an information systems strategy; and

- Initiate informed discussion of issues and needs of practicing service in a democratic society for others in the society.

The instructor discussed the outcomes with the group of students in the fall semester and the new group of students in the spring semester respectively in the first week of the course, and the students met the director and administrators of AHRC NYC for orientation on problems in the second week, and counselors and a few of the individuals with intellectual disability in the third week of the course. From the fourth to the twelfth week of the course, the students analyzed, designed developed and implemented prototypes of an intranet portal system with the individuals and the administrators, as indicated below:

Week 1: Community Service in Downtown New York City with AHRC NYC – Student Biography Report

Week 2: AHRC NYC in New York City

Week 3: AHRC NYC in the Community

Week 4: Community-Centric Principles of Web Design - Analysis of AHRC NYC Requirements – Intranet Portal System – Formation of Student Teams – Student Book Review of Clinton, Bill (2007), *Giving: How Each of Us Can Change the World*

Week 5: Analysis of AHRC NYC Requirements – Intranet Portal System - Storyboard

Week 6: Design of Intranet Portal System – Paper Prototype

Week 7: Design of Intranet Portal System – Paper Prototype

Week 8: Development of Intranet Portal System – Electronic Prototype – Student Engagement (Log) Report and Mid-Term Reflection Report

Week 9: *Day of Service and Technology Open House at Seidenberg School*

Week 10: Development of Intranet Portal System – Electronic Prototype

Week 11: Development of Intranet Portal System – Electronic Prototype

Week 12: Implementation of Intranet Portal System – Electronic Prototype – Student Book Review of Kennedy, Caroline (2002), *Profiles in Courage for Our Time*

Week 13: Presentation of Intranet Portal System to AHRC NYC Management – Proposed Next Semester Strategy

Week 14: *Service and Technology Show at Pace University* – Student Engagement (Log) Report and Final Reflection Report

The course was held half of the semesters at AHRC NYC and half at the university. The course integrated the *Blackboard Learning and Community Portal System* (Version 6.3.1) for the posting of engagement and reflection reports of the students at mid-semester at week 8 and at final semester at week 14.

Project

The emphasis of the project was engaging the students as consultants in dialogue with the individuals and the administrators of AHRC NYC, in order to design an intranet portal system. The instructor began the project by discussing community-centric principles of Web design based on *Planning and Designing Effective Web Sites* (Conger and Mason, 1998) and on best-of-class design practices of non-profit organization Internet sites. The students evaluated designs of other non-profit Internet Web sites based on the principles, which was feasible for non-information systems students. From this foundation, the students initiated interactions with the administrators on the content and functionality of the portal system, and from the interactions the students learned the requirements, as depicted in Figure 1. This design defined the engagement project in the fall 2007 and spring 2008 semesters.

To develop the project, the students formed into small groups for either developing the portal system or for helping in marketing the project, service and system at informal *day of service and technology open houses* at the organization and the school and at formal *service and technology shows* at the university. Formation was based largely on the interests of the students and not on skills in systems. The instructor helped the

students developing the prototype of the system learn prototyping software published at www.nvu.com, inasmuch as the outcome in the semesters was prototyping the potential of the system and of other technologies to AHRC NYC. The students in the fall and spring semesters learned the software in less than one class – 3 hours, though they lacked the skills, and they developed paper prototyping of the system by mid-semesters and electronic prototyping shortly thereafter. Those students helping in the marketing of the system developed initial public relations plans and open houses by mid-semesters. Throughout the initiatives, the individuals and administrators of AHRC NYC were participants on the teams, and the students were proactive with and responsible to the other students, the instructor, and to the administrators of the organization (Lea, Stephenson and Troy, 2003).

The students were empowered by the instructor to continue interactions with the administrators and the individuals of AHRC NYC in the implementation of the prototyping and the public relations planning. Functionality of the portal was improved in electronic prototyping by the development students in the fall semester, and further functionality, such as information scanning, was improved in prototyping and included by the new students in the spring, as the development students in the spring were as equally teachable in the publishing software as those in the fall. These students in the spring included other functionality of information searching tools. They integrated the full functionality of the prototypes of the portal system at the end of the spring semester. Those students in the spring and fall marketing the project, service and system initiated formal shows by the end of the semesters. To formally finish the project at the end of the semesters, the teams presented the potential of the prototypes of the system to the management of AHRC NYC, which responded positively, and at the end of the spring semester transitioned the prototypes to students in the fall semester 2008, in order to turn around the full functionality of the system by 2009.

Reflection of Students

Throughout the design and development of the portal system, the students in the spring 2008 and fall 2007 semesters evaluated

their engagement experiences with the individuals with intellectual disability and the administrators of AHRC NYC and with other students on their teams. The students posted learning perspectives and reflections (Bober and Dennen, 2001) on the service and on the system on the discussion board of the *Blackboard Learning and Community Portal System*. They posted reflection reviews on social stories in *Giving: How Each of Us Can Change the World* (Clinton, 2007), *Profiles in Courage for Our Time* (Kennedy, 2002) and in the metropolitan edition of *The New York Times*. They shared reflections with the instructor and with the other students of the semester. Summarized in the Review of Reflections of Students section of the study, their reflections were factored into the final grade of the course, with 50% for the reflections and 50% for the results of their service, and 75% of them earned a grade of A in the 2008 and 2007 semesters.

5. METHODOLOGY OF STUDY

The methodology of the study consisted of a customized perception survey of 41 undergraduate students in the *Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies* course, in the fall 2007 (24) and spring 2008 (17) semesters, in the Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems, of Pace University, in New York City. The survey focused on questions on current impact of engagement and project service at AHRC NYC and potential future impact of project engagement and service at AHRC, including interest in information systems as a future field of study as a result of the project service at AHRC. The bulk of the questions were chosen from service-learning literature (Astin and Sax, 1998 & Eyler and Giles, 1994) that was also included by the authors in the earlier study of service learning in the school (Lawler and Li, 2005). The students furnished their answers on a Likert-like 7-point scale from agree very strongly (6) to disagree very strongly (1) and neither disagree nor agree (0) on 20 current impact of service questions and on a closed form of 15 yes (1) or no (2) on future impact of service at AHRC questions. All of the students in both semesters completed the survey, the instrument of which is in the Appendix. The methodology of this study included a review of the reflections of the students on engagement and

project service at AHRC NYC. These reflections were posted by the students as Final Reflection Reports on to the Discussion Board of the *Blackboard Learning and Community Portal System* of the university. The reflections were shared by the instructor with several students in the course for confirmation and input into this study. The answers of the survey were interpreted statistically by the co-author of this study, for implications to instructors in information systems.

6. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis

The ratings of the students in response to the questions in the survey were analyzed by descriptive statistics, namely measures of central tendency (mean), variability (standard deviation), and relative frequency distributions (McClave and Sincich, 2006 & Ross, 2004).

Of the current impact of engagement and project service questions, Likert-like questions (Q) Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16, Q17 and Q18 were defined to be questions related to information systems, and of the future impact of engagement and service at AHRC NYC questions, yes or no Q29, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34 and Q35 were defined to be questions related to information systems.

In general the ratings of the responses to the questions related to information systems for the fall 2007 semester and the spring 2008 semester in total had higher means (4.78 [Q13 – Q18] and 1.57 [Q29 – Q35]) and lower standard deviations (1.11 [Q13 – Q18] and 0.44 [Q29 – Q35]) in the averages derived from the means and standard deviations indicated in Table 2 in the Appendix.

The relative frequency distribution of the overall ratings of the responses was highly concentrated over the Likert-like ratings of 4 (agree) and 6 (agree very strongly) for the current impact of engagement and service questions. However, the relative frequency of the ratings was more highly concentrated in the rating of 6 (agree very strongly) for the questions related to information systems. Nevertheless, the relative frequency distribution of the ratings was more slightly concentrated in the rating of 2 (no) for the future impact of engagement and service questions and was more so for the questions

related to information systems, as indicated in Figures 2 and 3 and in Tables 3, 4 and 5, also in the Appendix.

Further examination of the means, the standard deviations, and the relative frequency distributions of the ratings of the responses in the survey indicated that in the overall data set, current impact of engagement and service Q2 (*I had a clear definition of my community before this engagement.*) had the lowest mean (3.07) and the second highest standard deviation (1.66). Q16 (*The project educated AHRC NYC on the potential of information systems in helping its individuals with intellectual disability, its counselors, and its administrators.*) and Q18 (*The project is initiating further evaluation by AHRC NYC of the potential of information systems in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.*) had the highest means (5.22 and 5.22), and Q17 (*The project is improving the functionality of information systems at AHRC NYC in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.*) had the second highest mean (5.17). Q6 (*The project in this engagement facilitated civic engagement.*) had the lowest standard deviation (0.84).

Discussion

The ratings of the responses generally reflected that the students tended to either agree very strongly (6) or agree (4) with the current impact of engagement and service questions (Q1 – Q20). This was more pronounced in the questions related to information systems (Q13 – Q18), but the students seem to be tended towards no (2) with future impact of engagement and service questions (Q21 – Q35), though this was more pronounced in the earlier fall 2007 semester than in the later, and more improved in organization relationship, spring 2008 semester. Still, the ratings of the responses reflected that the students were highly positive that the *project educated the non-profit organization on the potential of information systems in helping its individuals with intellectual disability, its counselors, and its administrators* (Q16), which was agree very strongly (6) with relatively minor variability. At the same time, the students tended towards yes (1) in *planning to become knowledgeable of social issues facing their community* (Q27).

Though they tended towards no (2) in *planning to apply as candidates for an undergraduate degree or dual degree in information systems (Q33) or a graduate degree (Q34)*, and in *planning to learn about career opportunities in information systems in non-profit organizations (Q35)*, there is positive potential in the students tending to *planning to learn more about information systems (Q29)*, *planning to consider information systems as a field of study (Q30)*, and *planning to apply for course electives in information systems (Q32)*.

This is justifying further study of students in the fall 2008 – spring 2009 semesters of our university.

Review of Reflections of Students

The reflections of the students of the spring 2008 and fall 2007 semesters recorded at the end of the semesters indicated increased learning and also increased interest in information systems from their projects at AHRC NYC, as sampled below:

"The course had an effect on me through the project ... I would not have known of [AHRC NYC] ... I feel that that the portal [system] will be important for the organization." – A.M. (Sophomore Student, 2007);

"I learned about technology in a [manner] that I would not have learned about in my other [liberal arts] courses ... I would like to learn of other [community empowerment] courses like this project where I would learn more technology ... because I love to help others and [technology] seems a very good way to do it." – M.O. (Freshman Student, 2007);

"I was hesitant about the project because I was informed that it would be too technical, but it was not ... I will be thinking about taking other courses that are technical ... I would love to do something similar to this [and I would] volunteer my time." – L.S. (Freshman Student, 2007);

"It was great to see how pleased [AHRC] was with the portal [system] that we designed for them ... they were really impressed by what we [did] for them ... Knowing I helped them is an experience I will never forget." – D.S. (Senior Student, 2008); and

"I refined my project management skills on the [system] ... Response is we did a highly functioning and friendly [system] that will simplify their tasks ... we did a rewarding thing with 21st century technology ... thankful that I was on a great team." – M.S. (Junior Student, 2008).

These reflections were consistent in the positive impacts of motivated students on innovation projects noted in the literature and in the Analysis section of this study.

7. IMPLICATIONS

This new study of service learning in information systems curricula is beginning to confirm the findings of lessons learned in our other published study and survey (Lawler and Li, 2005). The customization of community engagement from both the problems of AHRC NYC and the skills of essentially non-information systems students is contributing to higher engagement, impact and learning of the students. The project of the course was an example of problem-based learning frequent in information systems curricula and of service learning, both of which are indicated in the literature (Bishop-Clark, 2005). The students learned problem solutions and related service with prototyped solutions. Though the course consisted of lecture by the instructor, the problems of AHRC NYC drove the course so that the students and the instructor devoted the bulk of efforts to project solutions. The flexibility of the instructor in designing the course and empowering the students for problem solution in self-formed and self-led teams enabled enthusiasm and excellence in higher engagement and learning. It increased the interests of the students in the field of information systems, so that consideration might be given to having the course introduced to students in their freshmen year when they may be undecided on a field of study.

The commitment of the individuals with intellectual disability and the administrators of AHRC NYC to the project of the Course is contributing to higher impact of the problems prototyped if not solved by the students. The students were dependent on the administrators, and the administrators were dependent on the students, in the storyboarding and the prototyping of the portal system. The inclusion of the individuals and

the administrators on the teams and their interactions with the students insured continued dependencies throughout the project. The integration of the organizational staff into the teams insured a positive outcome to the solutions. This ownership of the outcomes of the project by the staff and the students enabled higher engagement, impact and learning of the students.

The dimensions of the course from diverse functionality of analysis, design, development and implementation, and project management are contributing to higher engagement, impact and learning of the problems solved by the students through information systems. Through their reflections, the bulk of the students indicated that they learned a mix of partnership and relationship management, problem solving, socializing, team-playing and thinking skills that they had not learned in other non-information systems courses in the university. They indicated that by themselves they learned the software tool published at www.nvu.com, in order to be storyboarding and prototyping a real solution of quality and of technology, and were proud of their utility of information technology. The project of the course furnished problems of an organization, such as AHRC NYC, for which the students contributed solutions as if they were already consultants if not employees in an information systems department in industry. The course in educating in professional soft skills and in software skills enabled higher impact and learning and also initial though limited interest of the non-information systems students in the field of information systems.

The flexibility of course pedagogy is depending upon the energy and the initiative of the instructor in methods of pedagogy, enabling higher engagement, impact, and learning, and initial interest of the students in information systems. Given the inclusion of non-information systems students in the fall and spring, the instructor insured that the project framework of the fall semester fitted in solutions tangible to the administrators of AHRC NYC and that of the spring semester fitted in further solutions tangible to AHRC NYC. The instructor insured that the project was high in interest to the mix of non-information systems students and was not purely technical. The investment of the instructor in the course was the highest of his courses in the semesters, which is indicated

in the literature to be not infrequent of instructors in service learning (Langseth, 2000). Instructors might improve a course in information systems service learning by introducing more on-line tools to support the teams (Hartness, 2007), including social networking tools, so that they might be less involved in student tasks. Due to his involvement though, the instructor enabled higher engagement, impact, learning and initial though limited interest of the students in information systems.

The final lesson of this preliminary study is the enabling importance of institutionalizing outreach for schools of information systems and computer science. The instructor interfaced with a center for community outreach at the university, of whom non-profit organizations, such as AHRC NYC, inquire of outreach projects. The missions of the school and of the university include publicized service learning statements that support the center, the importance of which is indicated in the literature (Prentice, 2004). These centers of outreach if sustained by universities may fund hesitant instructors with stipends that further help instructors in initiating service learning. This center for community outreach at our university was an enabler in furnishing meaningful non-profit organizational projects to the instructor, facilitating a foundation for enabling favorably high findings in the engagement impacts and the learning outcomes of the students, in this new study and in the other study (Lawler and Li, 2005). Lastly, this center was a facility for marketing the projects and courses in the information systems service learning curricula of our school to students of the university.

Summary of Implications

The favorable outcomes of the students found from the lessons of this new study of service learning in information systems curricula is enabling an expanded foundation for helping the Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems at Pace University in marketing the field of information systems, so that more new students might enroll in the school in 2009 – 2012.

8. FURTHER OPPORTUNITIES IN RESEARCH

The findings of a study from a relatively small sample of students on one project in one course at one school of one university cannot be generalized to other institutions without caution. However, the instructor of the course will continue to explore the findings from this study and from the earlier study (Lawler and Li, 2005) with further statistics on students in information systems service learning projects and in other courses of the school with other non-profit organizations in 2008 – 2010. The impact of information systems service learning on increasing or not increasing the number of students in the field of information systems will be evaluated further from statistics of the school and of the university in 2008 – 2010. The opportunities in research will continue to be pursued by the instructor. The Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems at Pace University will be eager to share its future findings with other schools and universities.

9. CONCLUSION

The study continues to be beneficial in finding higher engagement, higher impact, and higher learning of students from customized design of information systems service learning courses. Flexibility of instruction in the pedagogy of the courses for non-information systems students is indicated to be instrumental in engagement, impact and learning highness and is indicating growth in the pedagogy if instructors in information systems initiate interesting projects in service learning. Impact on the improved interest of non-information systems students in the field of information systems so that they might enroll in the curricula of schools of information systems is indicated to be not as high as the learning, impact and engagement findings. Marketing of information systems to non-information systems students through projects of service learning might enable further enrollment if integrated more with the overall initiatives of the school and the university. Research of the Seidenberg School of Computer Science and Information Systems of Pace University will continue in 2008 – 2010, and the school encourages instructors at other schools and universities to join us in our studies.

10. REFERENCES

- Astin, A.W. and Sax, L.J. (1998) "How Undergraduates Are Affected by Service Participation." *Journal of College Student Development*, 39, pp. 251-263.
- Berson, J.S. and Younkin, W.F. (1998) "Doing Well by Doing Good: A Study of the Effects of a Service Learning Experience on Student Success." *American Society of Higher Education*, Miami, Florida, pp. 100-110.
- Bishop-Clark, C. (2005) "Problem-Based Service Learning in a 200-Level Systems Analysis and Design Course." *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON)*, 22 (3553), Columbus, Ohio, p. 2.
- Bober, M.J. and Dennen, V.P. (2001) "Inter-Subjectivity: Facilitating Knowledge Construction in On-Line Environments." *Education Media International*, 38, pp. 241-250.
- Bringle, R.G. and Hatcher, J.A. (2000) "Institutionalization of Service Learning in Higher Education." *Journal of Higher Education*, 71, pp. 273-290.
- Clinton, B. (2007) *Giving: How Each of Us Can Change the World*. Alfred. A. Knopf, New York, New York.
- Conger, S.A. and Mason, R.O (1998) *Planning and Designing Effective Web Sites*. Course Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp. 40-161.
- Dunlap, J.C. (2006) "Using Guided Reflective Journaling Activities to Capture Students' Changing Perceptions." *TechTrends*, 50(6), p. 26.
- Eyler, J. and Giles, Jr., D. (1994) "The Impact of a College Community Service Laboratory on Students' Personal, Social and Cognitive Development." *The Journal of Adolescence*, 17, pp. 327-329.
- George, J., Valacich, J. and Valor, J. (2005) "Does Information Systems Still Matter? Lessons for a Maturing Discipline." *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 16, pp. 219-232.
- Granger, M.J., Dick, G., Jacobson, C.M. and Van Slyke, C. (2007) "Information Systems Enrollments: Challenges and Strat-

- egies." *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 18(3), pp. 306-307
- Guthrie, R.A. and Navarrette, C.J. (2003) "Service Learning Impact on Information Systems Students in a Web Development Course." *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON)*, 2(8), San Diego, California.
- Hartness, K.T.N. (2007) "Coaching Asynchronous Teams for Undergraduate Programming Projects." *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON)*, 24 (1744), Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, pp. 1-8.
- Heffernan, K. (2001) "Fundamentals of Service Learning Course Construction." *Campus Compact*, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island.
- Howard, J.P.F. (1998) "A Counter-Normative Pedagogy. Academic Service Learning: A Pedagogy of Action and Reflection." in Rhoads, R.A. and Howard, J.P.F. Howard (eds.), *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, California, 73, pp. 21-29.
- Janicki, T.N. and Kline, D.M. (2004) "Matching Employer Needs with Information Systems (IS) Curriculum: An Exploratory Study." *Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ)*, 2(21).
- Kennedy, C. (2002) *Profiles in Courage for Our Time*. Hyperion, New York, New York.
- Kolb, D.A. (1984) *Experiential Learning*, Prentice Hall. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, p. 110.
- Langseth, M. (2000) "Maximizing Impact, Minimizing Harm: Why Service Learning Must More Fully Integrate Multicultural Education." in O'Grady, C. (ed.), *Integrating Service Learning and Multicultural Education in Colleges and Universities*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, p. 250.
- Lawler, J. and Li, Zheng (2005) "Student Engagement in a Flexible Model of Service Learning in Information Systems Curricula." *Journal of Informatics Education Research*, 7(2), pp. 53-90.
- Lea, S.J., Stephenson, D. and Troy, J. (2003) "Higher Education Students' Attitudes to Student Centered Learning: Beyond 'Educational Bulimia.'" *Studies in Higher Education*, 28(3), pp. 321-334.
- Longo, G. (2006) "Attracting Top Information Technology (IT) Students." *University Business*, 61, p. 1.
- Martincic, C.J. (2007) "Combining Real-World Internships with Software Development Courses." *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON)*, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 24(1544), p. 2.
- McClave, J. and Sincich, T. (2006) *A First Course in Statistics*, 9th Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, pp. 28-75.
- Petkus, Jr. (ed.) (2000) "A Theoretical and Practical Framework for Service Learning in Marketing: Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle." *Journal of Marketing Education*, 22, pp. 64-70.
- Prentice, M. (2004) "Twenty-First Century Learning: How Institutionalized Is Service Learning?." *The Journal for Civic Commitment*, 4, pp. 1,2,5.
- Rosenberger, C. (2000) "Beyond Empathy: Developing Critical Consciousness through Service Learning." in O'Grady, C. (ed.), *Integrating Service Learning and Multicultural Education in Colleges and Universities*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, p. 40.
- Ross, S. (2004) *Introduction to Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists*, 3rd Edition, Elsevier Academic Press. Burlington, Maine, pp. 9-24.
- Russell, J., Russell, B. and Pollacia, L. (2007) "Reversing the Decline of Computer Information Systems (CIS) Enrollment in Colleges and Universities by Creating Viable and Attractive Minors in CIS: A Statistical Study of CIS Minors at United States (US) Colleges and Universities." *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON)*, 24(3315), Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, November 3, p. 1.
- Spence, C.N. (2004) "Foundations of Service Learning." *Faculty Resource Network, Network Summer Seminar*, New York University, New York, New York, June, pp. 1-10.

Taracone, P. and Luca, J. (2002) "Employees, Teamwork, and Social Independence: A Formula for Successful Business?." Team Performance Management, 8, pp. 54-59.

Wei, K., Siow, J. and Burley, D.L. (2007) "Implementing Service Learning to the Information Systems and Technology Management Program: A Study of an Undergraduate Capstone Course." Journal of Information Systems Education, 18(1), pp. 125-126.

Zlotkowski, E. (2001) "Service Learning." American Association of Higher Education Series on Service Learning in the Disciplines, Forward.

Zweben, S. (2005) "Taulbee Survey: Record Ph.D Production on the Horizon; Undergraduate Enrollments Continue in Decline." Computing Research News, 17(3), p. 1

APPENDIX

Instrument of Survey of Study

**Course: Community Empowerment through Information Systems and Technologies
Student Engagement and Project Service-Learning Survey**

Fall Semester 2007 _____ Spring Semester 2008 _____

DO NOT INSERT YOUR NAME ON THIS SURVEY.

I. Current Impact of Engagement and Project Service at AHRC NYC

Please circle the number indicating your consensus to the statements below:

- 6= Agree Very Strongly
- 5= Agree Strongly
- 4= Agree
- 3= Disagree
- 2= Disagree Strongly
- 1= Disagree Very Strongly
- 0= Neither Disagree nor Agree

1. I believe strongly in community service.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

2. I had a clear definition of my community before this engagement.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

3. I would not have taken civic engagement if not required by the core curriculum.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

4. This engagement has helped me build a better understanding of my community.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

5. Following this engagement, I have a new definition of my community.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

6. The project in this engagement facilitated civic engagement.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

7. I feel a sense of fulfillment during the interaction with the individuals with intellectual disability of AHRC NYC.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

8. I have learned more about my fellow neighbors residing within my community.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

9. I feel that the project accomplished through this engagement did effect a change for AHRC NYC.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

10. The development of the community is not as important as the development of my individual self.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

11. My time was meaningful overall to my individual self.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

12. I would take another civic engagement and service learning course.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

13. I have learned more about the benefits of information systems.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

14. I have learned more about the functionality of information systems.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

15. I have learned more about what a professional in information systems might do with technology.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

16. The project educated AHRC NYC on the potential of information systems in helping its individuals with intellectual disability, its counselors, and its administrators.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

17. The project is improving the functionality of information systems at AHRC NYC in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

18. The project is initiating further evaluation by AHRC NYC of the potential of information systems in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

19. I have learned a better means of being an active citizen.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

20. I have matured as a person along with this engagement.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Comments

II. Potential Future Impact of Engagement and Project Service at AHRC NYC

Please circle Yes or No to the following statements:

21. I now plan to become involved in my community.

Yes No

22. I now plan to help others who are in social difficulty in my community.

Yes No

23. I now plan to join a community action program or service organization to help others in my community.

Yes No

24. I now plan to contribute as an actual volunteer in my community.

Yes No

25. I now plan to be an active member of my community.

Yes No

26. I am now committed to making a difference in my community.

Yes No

27. I now plan to become knowledgeable of social issues facing my community.

Yes No

28. I now plan to become involved politically in my community.

Yes No

29. I now plan to learn more about information systems.

Yes No

30. I now plan to consider information systems as a field of study as a result of the service.

Yes No

31. I now plan to expand my current field of study to information systems.

Yes No

32. I now plan to apply for course electives in information systems.

Yes No

33. I now plan to apply as a candidate for an undergraduate degree or a dual degree in information systems.

Yes No

34. I now plan to apply as a candidate for a graduate degree in information systems.

Yes No

35. I now plan to learn about career opportunities in information systems in non-profit organizations.

Yes No

Thank you for your participation in this survey.

Source: Astin and Sax, 1998 and Eyler and Giles, 1994 (Adapted)

Table 1: Demographics of Students in Information Systems Service Learning Courses

	Fall –Spring Semesters 2003 - 2004	Spring Semester 2005	Fall –Spring Semesters 2007 -2008		Total 2007-2008	
Course	Web Design for Non-Profit Organizations		Community Empowerment			
Organization	Community Access 1	Children’s Hope	AHRC NYC			
	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Percent
Demographics						
Age						
18-20	11	3	7	5	12	29%
21-22	23	16	13	4	17	43%
23-24	6	7	4	5	9	21%
25+	3	1	0	3	3	7%
Gender						
Female	20	16	14	12	26	64%
Male	23	11	10	5	15	36%
Year						
Freshman	8	4	6	4	10	24%
Sophomore	12	9	11	8	19	48%
Junior	18	10	5	4	9	21%
Senior	5	4	2	1	3	7%
Degree						
Computer Science/ Information Systems	24	11	1	3	4	9%
Non-Information Systems	19	16	23	14	37	91%

Business	7	4	7	5	12	
Education	1	0	1	0	1	
Liberal Arts	5	7	10	7	17	
Nursing	1	2	0	0	0	
Not Decided	5	3	5	2	7	
Experience In Service Learning						
Yes	3	2	3	2	5	12%
No	40	25	21	15	36	88%
Totals	n=43	n=27	n=24	n=17	n=41	100%

¹(Lawler and Li, 2005)

Table 2: Means and Standard Deviations of Student Survey

Questions	Fall 2007		Spring 2008		Total	
	Means	Standard Deviation	Means	Standard Deviation	Means	Standard Deviation
I. Current Impact of Engagement and Project Service at AHRC NYC						
1. I believe strongly in community service.	4.67	1.31	4.58	1.33	4.83	1.18
2. I had a clear definition of my community before this engagement	2.75	1.73	2.98	1.10	3.07	1.66
3. I would not have taken civic engagement if not required by the core curriculum.	4.16	1.34	4.19	1.29	4.46	1.1
4. This engagement has helped me build a better understanding of my community.	4.41	1.25	4.63	1.34	4.73	0.98
5. Following this engagement, I have a new definition of my community.	4.43	1.24	4.40	1.29	4.66	0.94
6. The project in this engagement facilitated civic engagement.	4.85	1.18	5.30	1.42	5.12	0.84
7. I feel a sense of fulfillment during the interaction with the individuals with intellectual disability of AHRC NYC.	4.76	1.27	5.15	1.40	5.02	0.96
8. I have learned more about my fellow neighbors residing within my community.	3.94	1.74	4.42	1.40	4.24	1.58
9. I feel that the project accomplished through this engagement did effect a change for AHRC NYC.	4.68	1.58	5.37	1.42	5.12	1.19

10. The development of the community is not as important as the development of my individual self.	3.83	1.59	2.61	1.56	3.22	1.86
11. My time was meaningful overall to my individual self.	4.07	1.24	4.77	1.36	4.54	1.05
12. I would take another civic engagement and service learning course.	3.46	1.33	3.67	1.40	3.63	1.37
13. I have learned more about the benefits of information systems.	4.19	1.40	5.23	1.44	4.73	1.41
14. I have learned more about the functionality of information systems.	3.78	1.31	4.49	1.33	4.39	1.2
15. I have learned more about what a professional in information systems might do with technology.	3.47	1.08	4.10	1.32	3.93	1.27
16. The project educated AHRC NYC on the potential of information systems in helping its individuals with intellectual disability, its counselors, and its administrators.	4.81	1.22	5.45	1.44	5.22	0.91
17. The project is improving the functionality of information systems at AHRC NYC in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.	4.76	1.23	5.31	1.47	5.17	0.92
18. The project is initiating further evaluation by AHRC NYC of the potential of information systems in helping its individuals, its counselors, and its administrators.	5.06	1.33	5.16	1.47	5.22	0.96
19. I have learned a better means of being an active citizen.	3.78	1.61	4.48	1.35	4.34	1.32
20. I have matured as a person along with this engagement.	3.55	1.59	4.27	1.26	4.27	1.4
II. Potential Future Impact of Engagement and Project Service at AHRC NYC						
21. I now plan to become involved in my community.	1.38	0.49	1.29	0.47	1.34	0.48
22. I now plan to help others who are in social difficulty in my community.	1.25	0.44	1.29	0.47	1.27	0.45
23. I now plan to join a community action program or service organization to help others in my community.	1.63	0.49	1.59	0.51	1.61	0.49
24. I now plan to contribute as an actual volunteer in my community.	1.75	0.44	1.71	0.47	1.73	0.45
25. I now plan to be an active member of my	1.67	0.48	1.71	0.47	1.68	0.47

community.						
26. I am now committed to making a difference in my community.	1.25	0.44	1.24	0.44	1.24	0.43
27. I now plan to become knowledgeable of social issues facing my community.	1.13	0.34	1.06	0.24	1.1	0.3
28. I now plan to become involved politically in my community.	1.75	0.44	1.76	0.44	1.76	0.43
29. I now plan to learn more about information systems.	1.29	0.46	1.18	0.39	1.24	0.43
30. I now plan to consider information systems as a field of study as a result of the service.	1.54	0.51	1.41	0.51	1.49	0.51
31. I now plan to expand my current field of study to information systems.	1.67	0.48	1.59	0.51	1.63	0.49
32. I now plan to apply for course electives in information systems.	1.29	0.46	1.12	0.33	1.22	0.42
33. I now plan to apply as a candidate for an undergraduate degree or a dual degree in information systems.	1.92	0.28	1.65	0.49	1.8	0.4
34. I now plan to apply as a candidate for a graduate degree in information systems.	1.83	0.38	1.76	0.44	1.8	0.4
35. I now plan to learn about career opportunities in information systems in non-profit organizations.	1.79	0.41	1.82	0.39	1.8	0.4

Legend: Current Impact Questions: 6 – Agree Very Strongly, 5 – Agree Strongly, 4 – Agree, 3 – Disagree, 2 – Disagree Strongly, 1 – Disagree Very Strongly, and 0 – Neither Disagree nor Agree, Future Impact Questions: 1 – Yes, 2 – No

Table 3: Frequency Distributions on Information Systems Questions – Agree / Disagree

Ratings	Q13	Q14	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18
0 – Neither Disagree nor Agree	0.049	0.024	0.049	0.000	0.000	0.000
1 – Disagree Very Strongly	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2 – Disagree Strongly	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3 – Disagree	0.000	0.098	0.220	0.000	0.000	0.000
4 – Agree	0.415	0.537	0.512	0.317	0.342	0.366
5 – Agree Strongly	0.146	0.098	0.098	0.146	0.146	0.049
6 – Agree Very Strongly	0.390	0.244	0.122	0.537	0.512	0.585

Table 4: Frequency Distributions on Information Systems Questions – Yes / No

Ratings	Q29	Q30	Q31	Q32	Q33	Q34	Q35
1 – Yes	0.756	0.512	0.366	0.781	0.195	0.195	0.195
2 – No	0.244	0.488	0.634	0.220	0.805	0.805	0.805

Table 5: Frequency Distributions on Non-Information Systems Questions

Ratings	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6
0 – Neither Disagree nor Agree	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1 – Disagree Very Strongly	0.024	0.293	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2 – Disagree Strongly	0.000	0.024	0.049	0.000	0.000	0.000
3 – Disagree	0.049	0.293	0.024	0.073	0.073	0.000
4 – Agree	0.390	0.220	0.634	0.415	0.439	0.293
5 – Agree Strongly	0.122	0.049	0.000	0.220	0.244	0.293
6 – Agree Very Strongly	0.415	0.122	0.293	0.293	0.244	0.415

Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q19	Q20
0.000	0.073	0.024	0.220	0.000	0.000	0.049	0.049
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.049	0.000	0.024
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.098	0.000	0.000
0.049	0.146	0.000	0.122	0.024	0.415	0.024	0.000
0.293	0.342	0.268	0.512	0.561	0.220	0.585	0.610
0.244	0.195	0.195	0.073	0.146	0.049	0.122	0.098
0.415	0.244	0.512	0.073	0.244	0.171	0.220	0.220

Ratings	Q21	Q22	Q23	Q24	Q25	Q26	Q27	Q28
1 – Yes	0.659	0.732	0.390	0.268	0.317	0.756	0.902	0.244
2 – No	0.342	0.268	0.610	0.732	0.683	0.244	0.098	0.756

ADULT DAY SERVICES INFORMATION PORTAL

ABOUT US	CALENDAR OF EVENTS	LESSONS & CURRICULUM	RESOURCE ROOM	CONSUMER ART GALLERY	CONTACT US
----------	--------------------	----------------------	---------------	----------------------	------------

The focus of *Adult Day Services* is to support people with intellectual and other developmental disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and autism spectrum disorders in overcoming the challenges they face in an effort to live a *self-determined life*. AHRC Adult Day Services uses Service Learning to provide people with opportunities to contribute to their communities. Service Learning is doing for others and learning from the experience. It benefits both the individual and the community.

AHRC NEWS OF THE MONTH	CONSUMER ART OF THE MONTH	ADS NEWS OF THE MONTH
<p style="color: #008080; margin: 0;">November 5, 2007 <i>5th Annual Autumn in New York, a cocktail reception</i></p> <p style="color: #008080; margin: 0;">November 15, 2007 <i>4th Annual Conference on Aging and Developmental Disabilities</i></p> <p style="color: #008080; margin: 0;">November 29, 2007 <i>The Family & Professional Resource Fair</i></p>		<p style="margin: 0;"><i>There is the on-going Art Exhibition on the second floor of the Main Office where artists from Adult Day Service facilities have been exhibiting their artwork.</i></p> <p style="margin: 0;"><i>Click here for details...</i></p>

Figure 1: Portal System




Figure 2: Frequency Distributions on Information Systems Questions – Agree / Disagree




Figure 3: Frequency Distributions on Information Systems Questions – Yes / No