Volume 12, No. 1 January 2014 ISSN: 1545-679X

INFORMATION SYSTEMS EDUCATION JOURNAL

In this issue:

- 4. A Paradigm for Student Learning Outcome Assessment in Information Systems Education: Continuous Improvement or Chasing Rainbows? Bruce Saulnier, Quinnipiac University
- Big Data in the Information Age: Exploring the Intellectual Foundation of Communication Theory Debra J. Borkovich, Robert Morris University Philip D. Noah, Robert Morris University
- 27. Entrepreneurial Health Informatics for Computer Science and Information Systems Students James Lawler, Pace University Anthony Joseph, Pace University Stuti Narula, Pace University
- 42. Confronting the Issues of Programming In Information Systems Curricula: The Goal is Success Jeffry Babb, West Texas A&M University Herbert E. Longenecker, Jr., University of South Alabama Jeanne Baugh, Robert Morris University
- 73. An Active Learning Activity for an IT Ethics Course David M. Woods, Miami University Elizabeth V. Howard, Miami University Regionals

David Feinstein, University of South Alabama

78. Swipe In, Tap Out: Advancing Student Entrepreneurship in the CIS Sandbox Connor Charlebois, Bentley University Nicholas Hentschel, Bentley University Mark Frydenberg, Bentley University The **Information Systems Education Journal** (ISEDJ) is a double-blind peer-reviewed academic journal published by **EDSIG**, the Education Special Interest Group of AITP, the Association of Information Technology Professionals (Chicago, Illinois). Publishing frequency is six times per year. The first year of publication is 2003.

ISEDJ is published online (http://isedjorg) in connection with ISECON, the Information Systems Education Conference, which is also double-blind peer reviewed. Our sister publication, the Proceedings of ISECON (http://isecon.org) features all papers, panels, workshops, and presentations from the conference.

The journal acceptance review process involves a minimum of three double-blind peer reviews, where both the reviewer is not aware of the identities of the authors and the authors are not aware of the identities of the reviewers. The initial reviews happen before the conference. At that point papers are divided into award papers (top 15%), other journal papers (top 30%), unsettled papers, and non-journal papers. The unsettled papers are subjected to a second round of blind peer review to establish whether they will be accepted to the journal or not. Those papers that are deemed of sufficient quality are accepted for publication in the ISEDJ journal. Currently the target acceptance rate for the journal is about 45%.

Information Systems Education Journal is pleased to be listed in the 1st Edition of Cabell's Directory of Publishing Opportunities in Educational Technology and Library Science, in both the electronic and printed editions. Questions should be addressed to the editor at editor@isedj.org or the publisher at publisher@isedj.org.

2014 AITP Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) Board of Directors

Wendy Ceccucci Quinnipiac University President – 2013-2014

Jeffry Babb West Texas A&M Membership Director

> Eric Bremier Siena College Director

Leslie J. Waguespack Jr Bentley University Director Scott Hunsinger Appalachian State Univ Vice President

Michael Smith Georgia Institute of Technology Secretary

Nita Brooks Middle Tennessee State Univ Director

Peter Wu Robert Morris University Director

Nita Adams State of Illinois (retired) FITE Liaison Alan Peslak Penn State University President 2011-2012

George Nezlek Univ of North Carolina Wilmington -Treasurer

Muhammed Miah Southern Univ New Orleans Director

S. E. Kruck James Madison University JISE Editor

Copyright © 2014 by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP). Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this journal for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that the copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial use. All copies must bear this notice and full citation. Permission from the Editor is required to post to servers, redistribute to lists, or utilize in a for-profit or commercial use. Permission requests should be sent to Nita Brooks, Editor, editor@isedj.org.

INFORMATION SYSTEMS EDUCATION JOURNAL

Editors

Nita Brooks

Senior Editor Middle Tennessee State University Thomas Janicki Publisher University of North Carolina Wilmington

Wendy Ceccucci

Associate Editor

Quinnipiac University

Jeffry Babb

Associate Editor West Texas A&M University

George Nezlek Associate Editor Univ of North Carolina Wilmington

> Anthony Serapiglia Teaching Cases Co-Editor St. Vincent College

Donald Colton Emeritus Editor Brigham Young University Hawaii

> Melinda Korzaan Associate Editor Middle Tennessee State University

Samuel Sambasivam Associate Editor Azusa Pacific University

Lawrence Cameron Teaching Cases Co-Editor Montana State University

ISEDJ Editorial Board

Samuel Abraham Siena Heights University

Teko Jan Bekkering Northeastern State university

Eric Breimer Siena College

Ulku Yaylacicegi Clark Univ North Carolina WIlmington

Gerald DeHondt II

Janet Helwig Dominican University

Scott Hunsinger Appalachian State University

Mark Jones Lock Haven University James Lawler Pace University

Paul Leidig Grand Valley State University

Michelle Louch Robert Morris University

Pacha Malyadri

Cynthia Martincic Saint Vincent College

Muhammed Miah Southern Univ at New Orleans

Marianne Murphy North Carolina Central University

Anene Nnolim Florida Institute of Technology Monica Parzinger St Mary University Texas

Bruce Saulnier Quinnipiac University

Li-Jen Shannon Sam Houston State University

Michael Smith Georgia Institute of Technology

Karthikeyan Umapathy University of North Florida

Stuart Varden Pace University

Bruce White Quinnipiac University

Peter Y. Wu Robert Morris University.

An Active Learning Activity for an IT Ethics Course

David M. Woods woodsdm2@miamioh.edu IT Services Miami University Oxford, OH 45056, USA

Elizabeth V. Howard howardev@miamioh.edu Computer & Information Technology Department Miami University Regionals Middletown, OH 45042, USA

Abstract

Courses in Information Technology Ethics are often designed as discussion-intensive courses where case studies are introduced and evaluated using ethical theories. Although many of the case studies directly apply to our students' online lives, the stories can sometimes seem too far removed from their own experiences. While we read the news headlines about data being intercepted via networks, students may not fully understand how easy that data is to intercept. Incorporating a hands-on experience using a network sniffing program allows students to actually experience just how easily someone can intercept their data.

Keywords: IT Ethics, Active Learning, Network Sniffing, Interactive Exercise, Experiential Learning, Wireshark

1. THE COURSE

The topic of ethics is an important part of both the IS and IT curriculum (IS 2010; Information Technology 2008), however, there is little literature discussing active learning activities for an IT Ethics course. This paper extends previous discussions (Howard, 2006; Howard, 2007) of an IT Ethics course to discuss how an active learning activity is used to engage students. The IT Ethics course is designed as a discussion and writing-intensive course. We use traditional ethical theories such as Act Utilitarianism, Rule Utilitarianism, and Kantianism to evaluate scenarios on topics ranging from privacy and intellectual property rights to whistleblowing and vulnerable groups. Students participate in discussions both outside of class in online discussion forums using the university's learning management system (LMS) and in-class discussions. Part of the student's grade is based on their participation in the discussions. In addition to discussions, students also write a number of position papers centered around the covered topics and create a final group project on the IT ethical topic of their choice.

The literature suggests that one of the challenges of teaching a discussion-intensive course is the underprepared or unengaged student (Benbunan-Fich, 1998; Greening, Kay, & Kummerfeld, 2004; Sanders, 2005). To help address the challenge of students being prepared to actively participate in class discussions, we provide the students with

specific questions about the chapter of the text (Brinkman & Sanders, 2012) and use a subset of those questions for quizzes. Students are required to prepare two (2) full pages of notes for each quiz and the notes are worth 50% of the quiz score. Students then use those notes during the guizzes. The guizzes and guiz notes are intended to encourage the students to read the text and to be prepared for class discussion. This combination of guizzes and notes seems to be an effective method to encourage student preparedness. Students strongly agree that the quizzes and notes help to better prepare them for the in-class discussions (Howard, 2007). To further encourage students to participate in the class discussions, students must cite their classmates within their position papers (Howard, 2006; Sanders, 2005). Although students find citing their classmates in their papers to sometimes be a challenge, the papers are more interesting and substantive than position papers assigned in other courses. As an added benefit, citing classmates has essentially eliminated plagiarism.

2. WIRESHARK ACTIVITY

Throughout the semester, students engage in online and face-to-face discussions on many case studies and scenarios that are the same type of situations that they face in their daily technology use. For example, students read and analyze examples where network traffic has been intercepted but those scenarios sometimes removed from their personal seem too experience (Greenemeier, 2007; McMillan, 2009). In addition, many students take this course for the general education requirement and are not computing majors and they have not yet seen the type of information that is shared in an internet search. The literature suggests that an active learning opportunity would be more effective than merely lecturing about the information that is transmitted (Schweitzer & Brown, 2007; Gao & Hargis, 2010). As Kolb wrote, "Knowledge is continuously derived from and tested out in the experiences of the learner." (Kolb, 1984). To provide an experience where students could actively consider ethical aspects of technology, a hands-on activity involving network packet sniffing was added to the course. This activity involved having each student run the Wireshark network protocol analysis software (www.wireshark.org) to capture network traffic while the student executed a Google search. Students then reviewed the captured network traffic to explore all of the information sent to Google as part of the search.

This activity provided many technology ethics discussion topics. The first topics came from the need to get permission for the activity from the university's network managers. They approved of the exercise as long as the Wireshark software was not permanently installed on the classroom computers and the exercise was limited to the wired network. Fortunately, the university's switched network design meant that students would not be able to see network traffic from any other computers, which eliminated another potential issue. To avoid the effort needed to install and uninstall Wireshark from the classroom computers, bootable Linux DVDs (http://networksecuritytoolkit.org/nst/index.htm Many of the students were I) were used. unfamiliar with the Linux operating system and it provided us with an opportunity to discuss various operating systems as well as discussions surrounding open source software

Once students had used Wireshark to capture the network data generated by their search, the next discussion topic was how easy it was to see the specific search term, and by extension any text entered in the browser. Students were surprised at how easy it was to see this text and also by all the additional information such as browser and operating system information that was included. Figure 1 (see appendix) shows a snapshot of the information captured by Wireshark. The search term "wireshark," the type of operating system, the browser used, and other data collected are shown in the rectangles. This provided an opportunity to discuss the ethical practices of the university's network managers in restricting the use of network analysis tools and physically securing access to network switches and other hardware.

The discussion was extended by asking students how they would feel if usernames and password for accessing e-mail, online banking, and other sites could be accessed with the same ease. Most students had never considered this, but in light of what they had seen with the Google search were very concerned. This provided an opportunity to discuss the difference between http and https. While use of HTTPS is an obvious feature for banks, for others, there is a potential trade off between the security of using HTTPS and the additional time required to load encrypted pages. Facebook explicitly discusses this tradeoff in their announcement of the ability to use the HTTPS protocol for interacting with Facebook (Rice, 2011).

Another follow up discussion topic is the difference between wired and wireless networks, and the additional security risks posed by wireless networks. In the Wireshark exercise, students were only able to see their data due to the switched design of the wired campus network. Due to the broadcast/receive nature of wireless networks all data is broadcast to all Poor network security can lead to users. significant risk for companies and individuals (Greenemeier, 2007). An additional ethical consideration with wireless networks is whether it is ethical to access a wireless network without permission. In every class where this exercise has been used, there has been at least one student who has accessed a neighbor's wireless network without asking for permission. This provides an excellent situation for applying the ethical theories used throughout the course.

During the exercise, many students spend time exploring the Wireshark data and bring up other questions. Figure 2 (see appendix) shows two items that students will often ask about - why the search results aren't actually coming from www.google.com (in Figure 2 results come from "ve-in-f104.1e100.net") or about all of the other network traffic that is captured.

Discussing these questions gives students a feeling for the massive complexity of the internet and the need to consider the interactions between components when discussing ethical considerations. For example, including browser and operating system information seems unnecessary for a simple Google search, but once students see the complexity of network traffic, they begin to appreciate how the additional information could be useful to network administrators.

3. STUDENT REACTIONS

Students have a variety of reactions to the Wireshark exercise. Most students seem to enjoy the opportunity for a hands-on exercise (Howard, 2007) and they are completely engaged during the activity. In course evaluations and in written reflections, students often mention how valuable they found the Wireshark activity. Many students, especially those with less technical backgrounds, are very concerned when they see how easy it is to intercept network traffic and how Google search

strings are transmitted in plain text. They often have questions about security of passwords, financial data, and personal information which present an excellent opportunity for a discussion about HTTP and HTTPS protocols and other network security measures.

An interesting outcome of the Wireshark activity is that it prompts many students to think more about the security of their interactions on the web. Many have not previously thought about the security of the data they send across the internet. The discussions during the Wireshark activity provide them with the knowledge needed to think critically about their use of the internet. In our most recent class, one student asked a terrific question, "What are five (5) things that I can do to better protect myself online?" This led to a discussion where other students were able to contribute their own ideas. Ideas included looking for the use of the HTTPS protocol, making use of two-factor authentication where available, keeping antivirus software updated, using strong passwords, avoiding re-use of passwords, and not doing online banking while connected to public wireless networks. At the end of the class session at least one student typically comments that they plan on reviewing the security practices of web sites they visit for banking.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Including an active learning activity on network sniffing using Wireshark and Linux provided many topics for discussion in our IT Ethics class. Topics such as intercepting network traffic, network security, and open source software suddenly became topics that directly affected the students' lives and not merely stories in an article or case study.

One suggestion that we propose is that instructors be ready to offer tips on safeguarding personal information (Stern, 2013). This would provide information that students could act upon as a result of the Wireshark activity and discussion.

5. REFERENCES

Benbunan-Fich, R. (1998). Guidelines for using case scenarios to teach computer ethics. SIGCAS Comput. Soc. 28, 3 (Sep. 1998), 20-24. Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ) ISSN: 1545-679X

- Brinkman, W. & Sanders, A. (2012). Ethics in a Computing Culture. Cengage Learning, Boston.
- Gao, J. & Hargis, J. (2010) Promoting Technology-assisted Active Learning in Computer Science Education. *The Journal of Effective Teaching*, 10(2), 81-93.
- Greenemeier, L. (2007). T.J. Maxx Data Theft Likely Due To Wireless 'Wardriving.' Information Week. Retrieved on June 15, 2013 from http://www.informationweek.com/tj-maxxdata-theft-likely-due-to-wireles/199500385
- Greening, T., Kay, J., and Kummerfeld, B. (2004). Integrating ethical content into computing curricula. In Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Australian Computing Education Volume 30 (Dunedin, New Zealand). R. Lister and A. Young, Eds. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, vol. 57. Australian Computer Society, Darlinghurst, Australia, 91-99.
- Howard, E.V. (2006). "Facing the Challenges of Teaching IT Ethics." Proceedings of Special Interest Group in Information Technology Education (SIGITE) 2006, (Minneapolis, MN, October 2006), 95-98.
- Howard, E.V. (2007). "Students Respond to IT Ethics." Proceedings of Special Interest Group in Information Technology Education (SIGITE) 2007, (Destin, FL, October 2007), 219-224.
- Information Technology 2008: Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Technology. Programs in Information 2013 Retreived on Sept. 3, from http://www.acm.org//education/curricula/IT 2008%20Curriculum.pdf

- IS 2010: Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Information Systems. Retrieved on Sept. 3, 2013 from http://www.acm.org/education/curricula/IS %202010%20ACM%20final.pdf
- Kolb, D.A. (1984): Experiential learning: experience as the source of learning and development Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, p. 27.
- McMillan, R. (2009). The NSA wiretapping story that nobody wanted. Network World. Retrieved on June 15, 2013 from http://www.networkworld.com/news/2009/0 71709-the-nsa-wiretapping-story-that.html.
- Rice, A. (2011). A continued commitment to security. Retrieved on June 15, 2013 from http://blog.facebook.com/blog.php?post=48 6790652130.
- Sanders, A. F. (2005). A discussion format for computer ethics. In Proceedings of the 36th SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (St. Louis, Missouri, USA, February 23 - 27, 2005). SIGCSE '05. ACM Press, New York, NY, 352-355.
- Schweitzer, D. & Brown, W. (2007). Interactive visualization for the active learning classroom. In Proceedings of the 38th SIGCSE technical symposium on Computer science education (SIGCSE '07). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 208-212. DOI=10.1145/1227310.1227384
- Stern, J. (2013). 10 Tips to Protect Yourself Online. Retrieved on June 15, 2013 from http://news.yahoo.com/safer-internet-day-10-tips-protect-yourself-232418764--abcnews-topstories.html.

Appendices

Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 35531 (35531), Dst Port: http (80), Seq: 5066 Hypertext Transfer Protocol [truncated] GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es_nrs=true& ① [[truncated] Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c Request Method: GET Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1z1wNtfDc83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 v&ME. 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPX							
<pre>Hypertext Transfer Protocol [[truncated] GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es_nrs=true& [[truncated] Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c Request Method: GET Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com/rhn User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDc83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&ggs_s] 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPX </pre>	Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: probe-plpl.earthlink.net (192.168.1.111), Dst: ve-in-						
<pre>[truncated] GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es_nrs=true& [[truncated] Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c Request Method: GET Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDc83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs] 00</pre>	Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 35531 (35531), Dst Port: http (80), Seq: 5066						
<pre> [[truncated] Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c Request Method: GET Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDc83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&ggs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18</pre>	Hypertext Transfer Protocol						
<pre>Request Method: GET Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com/r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs</pre>][truncated] GET /s?gs rn=18&gs ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es nrs=true&						
Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy_ab&cp=9&gs_id=5c&xhr=t&q=wireshark&es Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy_ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 v&M .]E. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3.@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	[[truncated] Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): GET /s?gs rn=18&gs ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs id=5c						
Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&qs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&qs 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
Request Version: HTTP/1.1 Host: www.google.com\r\n User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&qs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&qs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 v&ME. 3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	Request URI [truncated]: /s?gs rn=18&gs ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs id=5c&xhr=t&g=wireshark&es						
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Linux i686; rv:20.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/20.0\r\n Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	Host: www.google.com\r\n						
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8\r\n Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1zlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&qs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&qs_ 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPX							
Accept-Language: en-US, en;q=0.5\r\n Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&qs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&qs [0 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00]v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?qs_rn=18&qs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&qs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5\r\n						
Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qldlzlwNtfDC83k9; NID= Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n						
Connection: keep-alive\r\n \r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	Referer: http://www.google.com/\r\n						
<pre>\r\n [Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx</pre>	Cookie: PREF=ID=4a019527b394cb57:TM=1372202705:LM=1372202705:S=qld1z1wNtfDC83k9; NID=						
<pre>[Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs_rn=18&gs_ri=psy-ab&cp=9&gs 00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx</pre>	Connection: keep-alive\r\n						
00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	\r\n						
00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME. 10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	[Full request URI [truncated]: http://www.google.com/s?gs rp=18&gs rj=psy-ab&cp=9&gs						
10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o 20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx							
20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx	00 c8 d7 19 76 26 db f0 4d a2 7c 89 0b 08 00 45 00v&ME.						
	10 03 33 b8 cc 40 00 40 06 c3 b6 c0 a8 01 6f ad c2 .3@.@o						
	20 4b 68 8a cb 00 50 4e 96 c2 94 d3 50 78 9a 80 18 KhPNPx						
30 01 40 pc sc 00 00 01 01 08 08 00 01 1c 74 69 08 .K	30 01 4b bc 8c 00 00 01 01 08 0a 00 01 1c 74 69 08 .Kti.						

Figure 1. Data collected by Wireshark. The first highlighted box shows the search term used. The second box shows some of the browser and operating system data that is sent as part of the Google search.

136 3.445612	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	googlehosted.l.googleuse:	TCP	47019 > http [ACK]
137 3.449432	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	ve-in-f104.le100.net	HTTP	GET /gen_204?v=3&s=
138 3.479622	192.168.1.1	Broadcast	ARP	Who has 192.168.1.1
139 3.503912	ve-in-f104.1e100.net	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	HTTP	HTTP/1.1 204 No Con
140 3.503942	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	ve-in-f104.le100.net	TCP	35531 > http [ACK]
141 3.565082	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	ve-in-f104.1e100.net	HTTP	GET /s?gs_rn=18&gs_
142 3.643099	ve-in-f104.1e100.net	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	HTTP	HTTP/1.1 200 OK (a
143 3.643146	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	ve-in-f104.1e100.net	TCP	35531 > http [ACK]
144 3.644766	ve-in-f104.1e100.net	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	HTTP	Continuation or non
145 3.644790	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	ve-in-f104.le100.net	TCP	35531 > http [ACK]
146 4.160709	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	rns2.earthlink.net	DNS	Standard query 0x40
147 4.160727	probe-plpl.earthlink.net	rns2.earthlink.net	DNS	Standard query 0x2e
	المراجعة والمراجع وا			

Figure 2. Data collected by Wireshark showing that Google.com search results are actually returned from another address (ve-in-f104.1e100.net in this example). This image also shows the additional network traffic taking place at the same time as the Google search.