



ISSN: 1545-679X

Information Systems Education Journal

Volume 1, Number 8

<http://isedj.org/1/8/>

September 10, 2003

In this issue:

A Review of Premier Information Systems Journals for Pedagogical Orientation

Jens O. Liegle

Georgia State University
Atlanta, GA 30303

Roy D. Johnson

Georgia State University
Atlanta, GA 30303

Abstract: Tenure, promotion, and merit pay at most universities are a direct function of the quantity and quality of a faculty's publication. In particular, recent changes in the treatment of non-tenure track (NTT) faculty at a large south-eastern University suggest that for NTT faculty, whose assigned workload consists primarily of teaching responsibilities, the criteria for promotion are not only excellence in teaching, but also demonstrated evidence of teaching scholarship. This will likely apply to tenure track faculty at teaching institutions. Accomplishments in discipline-based scholarship of discovery are considered complementary, but not a substitute for accomplishments in the scholarship of teaching; however, publications in pedagogical journals are considered a strong form of public dissemination. This requirement was the motivation behind this study to review the premier Information Systems journals for pedagogical orientation. Sixty-one (61) top ranked IS journals were selected for the initial study based on the cumulative IS journal rankings by Saunders (2003). In an e-mail survey with telephone follow-up, journal editors were asked to identify the extent of the pedagogical orientation of their respective journals. Results revealed that with the exception of three (3) journals, these top-ranked journals published on average less six (6) percent or less of their articles with a pedagogical focus, and only two (2) journals declared a pedagogical focus. This means that there are very few outlets for IS pedagogy research in the leading IS journals, which can explain the difficulties that NTT and tenure-track faculty at teaching institutions have to gain promotion and/or tenure. This information may be useful to candidates for tenure, promotion, and merit, as well as those who serve on committees charged with making decisions about tenure, promotion, and merit.

Keywords: survey, information systems, pedagogy, education, journal, tenure, promotion, merit

Recommended Citation: Liegle and Johnson (2003). A Review of Premier Information Systems Journals for Pedagogical Orientation. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 1 (8). <http://isedj.org/1/8/>. ISSN: 1545-679X. (Also appears in *The Proceedings of ISECON 2003*: §2511. ISSN: 1542-7382.)

This issue is on the Internet at <http://isedj.org/1/8/>

The **Information Systems Education Journal** (ISEDJ) is a peer-reviewed academic journal published by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP, Chicago, Illinois). • ISSN: 1545-679X. • First issue: 2003. • Title: Information Systems Education Journal. Variant titles: IS Education Journal; IS Ed Journal; ISEDJ. • Physical format: online. • Publishing frequency: irregular; as each article is approved, it is published immediately and constitutes a complete separate issue of the current volume. • Single issue price: free. • Subscription address: subscribe@isedj.org. • Subscription price: free. • Electronic access: <http://isedj.org/> • Contact person: Don Colton (editor@isedj.org)

Editor
Don Colton
Brigham Young Univ Hawaii
Laie, Hawaii

The Information Systems Education Conference (ISECON) solicits and presents each year papers on topics of interest to IS Educators. Peer-reviewed papers are submitted to this journal.

ISECON Papers Chair
William J. Tastle
Ithaca College
Ithaca, New York

Associate Papers Chair
Mark (Buzz) Hensel
Univ of Texas at Arlington
Arlington, Texas

Associate Papers Chair
Amjad A. Abdullat
West Texas A&M Univ
Canyon, Texas

EDSIG activities include the publication of ISEDJ, the organization and execution of the annual ISECON conference held each fall, the publication of the Journal of Information Systems Education (JISE), and the designation and honoring of an IS Educator of the Year. • The Foundation for Information Technology Education has been the key sponsor of ISECON over the years. • The Association for Information Technology Professionals (AITP) provides the corporate umbrella under which EDSIG operates. AITP celebrates its 50th year as a professional society in 2003.

© Copyright 2003 EDSIG. In the spirit of academic freedom, permission is granted to make and distribute unlimited copies of this issue in its PDF or printed form, so long as the entire document is presented, and it is not modified in any substantial way.

A Review of Premier Information Systems Journals for Pedagogical Orientation

Jens O. Liegle
and
Roy D. Johnson

Department of Computer Information Systems,
Robinson College of Business, Georgia State University,
35 Broad Street, Atlanta, GA 30303

Abstract

Tenure, promotion, and merit pay at most universities are a direct function of the quantity and quality of a faculty's publication. In particular, recent changes in the treatment of non-tenure track (NTT) faculty at a large south-eastern University suggest that for NTT faculty, whose assigned workload consists primarily of teaching responsibilities, the criteria for promotion are not only excellence in teaching, but also demonstrated evidence of teaching scholarship. This will likely apply to tenure track faculty at teaching institutions. Accomplishments in discipline-based scholarship of discovery are considered complementary, but not a substitute for accomplishments in the scholarship of teaching; however, publications in pedagogical journals are considered a strong form of public dissemination.

This requirement was the motivation behind this study to review the premier Information Systems journals for pedagogical orientation. Sixty-one (61) top ranked IS journals were selected for the initial study based on the cumulative IS journal rankings by Saunders (2003). In an e-mail survey with telephone follow-up, journal editors were asked to identify the extent of the pedagogical orientation of their respective journals. Results revealed that with the exception of three (3) journals, these top-ranked journals published on average less six (6) percent or less of their articles with a pedagogical focus, and only two (2) journals declared a pedagogical focus. This means that there are very few outlets for IS pedagogy research in the leading IS journals, which can explain the difficulties that NTT and tenure-track faculty at teaching institutions have to gain promotion and/or tenure. This information may be useful to candidates for tenure, promotion, and merit, as well as those who serve on committees charged with making decisions about tenure, promotion, and merit.

Keywords: survey, information systems, pedagogy, education, journal, tenure, promotion, merit

1. INTRODUCTION

There are many motivations for publishing in professional journals. While many authors wish to extend the knowledge base in their discipline, the majority of university faculty may have an even more compelling motivation: journal publications are easily quantified and are therefore taken into consideration as a measure of faculty productivity for

decisions such as tenure, promotion, and pay raises (Newall & Price, 1983; Euster & Weinbach, 1986; McNabb, 1994; Marchant & Newman, 1994; Whicker, Kronenfeld, & Strickland, 1993).

According to Whicker, Kronenfeld, and Strickland, "the first commandment of tenure success is to publish" (1993, p. 138). At many institutions, publications are considered a

major factor in decisions about promotion and tenure (Euster & Weinbach, 1986; Marchang & Newman, 1994). Even getting a job in higher education may hinge upon an established publication record prior to graduation (Dill & Morrison, 1985).

Tenure and promotion are related subjects, and in both instances the number of publications has a profound impact on how a faculty member is evaluated: "Tenure demonstrates a belief in a faculty member's future promise, largely judged on evidence of potential contributions to his/her profession and institution. It symbolizes an institution's long-term investment and commitment to the future career and success of that faculty member" (Hiller & Ritvo, 1991, p. 87). On the other hand, "promotion institutes an award earned for past accomplishments and performance" (Hiller & Ritvo, 1991, p. 87). In many universities, publications may also be rewarded with salary increments (Euster & Weinbach, 1986) commonly referred to as merit pay.

It is generally accepted that teaching, research and service are three categories by which candidates for tenure, promotion, and merit are evaluated. One study of Information Systems (IS) education programs found that teaching, research, and service were indeed the primary criteria influencing tenure, promotion, and merit decisions (Whicker et al, 1993). Standards and expectations, however, may vary from university to university. Although information on criteria for tenure, promotion, and merit may vary, these criteria often fail to operationalize standards. Therefore, a candidate must actively seek information from the dean, department chair, senior faculty, and those who have recently gone through the process (Sundre, 1992; Whicker, Kroenfeld, & Strickland, 1993). More specifically, candidates must seek to discover the research and publication expectations that they will be expected to meet, and then develop a research plan leading to a research publication record.

The information gained from this research paper may make it easier for potential authors to make decisions about which IS journals to target for publication of pedagogically oriented articles. This study was undertaken with those who are, or will be,

trying to build their publication record in mind. Specifically, those who may benefit from the following information include: candidates for faculty positions; candidates for tenure, promotion, and merit increases; those who advise such candidates with regard to their publication plan (e.g., department chairs and mentors); and those responsible for making decisions on awarding of tenure, promotion, and merit pay (e.g., deans, department chairs, and tenure, promotion, and merit committees).

2. METHODOLOGY

Sixty-one (61) top ranked IS journals were selected for this study based on Carol Saunders combined meta-rankings of six articles that ranked Management Information Systems journals (Saunders, 2003). This is the most comprehensive ranking list of IS journals, comparing the rankings of five studies by Mylonopoulos & Theoharakis (2001), Whitman et al. (1999), Hardgrave & Walstrom (1997), Walstrom et al. (1995), Holsapple et al. (1994), and by Gillenson & Stutz (1991).

These journals were selected because they are mainstream professional IS journals, journals of professional IS organizations, or refereed IS education related journals. Subsequently, the authors identified the journal editor's name, e-mail address and phone numbers. Four (4) journals are no longer in print, two (2) "journals" were not surveyed as they represented boards; the remaining 57 journal editors were contacted by e-mail. Two (2) journals opted out of the survey after which one follow-up e-mail and a telephone call were made to bring the total number of respondents to 50 of 53 or 94% of the total number surveyed.

Each editor was asked three (3) questions about the types of articles published by the journal (see appendix I). The first question (#1) asked "Typically, what percentage of your accepted articles fall into each of these IS domains?" with the options "Applied, Theoretical, Pedagogical, and Other" given. Instead of giving definitions of these categories, the authors provided them with examples: Applied (i.e. surveys, research case studies, etc.), Theoretical (i.e. examine/develop research methodologies, etc.),

and Pedagogical (i.e. teaching tools, teaching cases, etc.), Other.

The second question (#2) asked, "Out of the total number of pedagogical articles that you publish, what percentage of accepted articles fall into each of these three sub-domains for Scholarship of Teaching in..." with three choices being offered: a) Information Systems (teaching and learning in IS specifically), b) Business (teaching and learning in a general business school setting), and c) Information Technology (educational technology to enhance teaching and learning). These categories were chosen to reflect the three categories of the target journal list of a large southeastern research-oriented university.

The last question (#3) asked "Would you classify your journal focus as pedagogically oriented?", offering a dichotomous yes/no response. Since there is no agreed upon definition of *pedagogically oriented*, it was left open for the individual respondent's interpretation. The goal of this question was to allow the authors to validate the responses of question #1 and #2.

3. RESULTS

The results of the survey are listed in Appendix II. The first question of the survey asked the journal editors to identify how many articles they publish on average in one of three categories. The results show that the majority of the articles focused on Applied Research (50%), followed by Theoretical (37%), Pedagogical (6%), and Other (6%). This means that these premier journals published on average only six percent (6%) of their articles focusing on pedagogical material, with the top three journals – MISQ (1%), ISR (0%), and JMIS (0%) – publishing an insignificant number of pedagogical articles.

Only 20 out of the 50 journals (40%) do publish pedagogical material, and only two journals (or 4%) identified themselves as having a pedagogical focus (Journal of Information Systems Education and IEEE Transactions). A closer look at the IEEE Transactions response reveals two things: First, only one of the numerous transactions seems to focus on pedagogy, namely IEEE Transactions on Education. And second, of

the 50% pedagogical content, only five percent (5%) is IS or Business related.

The two journals making up the majority of IS pedagogical publications are the Journal of Accounting Information Systems with an overall 21% IS pedagogical content (with 85% IS related articles of its 25% pedagogical portion), and the Journal of Information Systems Education (JISE) with an overall of 36% IS pedagogical content (90% out of 40%). Based on these numbers, these two journals account for nearly half of all IS pedagogy publications (not taking the different number of articles, article size, circulation, or publication frequency into account).

A distant third is journal in IS pedagogical publications is Information and Management, which publishes 15% of its articles in pedagogical areas, but of those, 80% are IS related, resulting in an overall 12% IS pedagogical content. The reader may note that all other journals have 6% or less IS pedagogical content.

These percentages might have been further skewed by the response of the editor of the Journal of Information Systems Education. As the journal of the SIG for IS education of AITP, one might expect a 100% IS pedagogical content, however, the numbers reported were 50% Applied, 10% Theoretical, and only 40% Pedagogical content, of which, as expected, 90% is IS related. The authors can only speculate that articles related to IS curriculum design or IS technology were considered as Applied.

A second question asked the editors to further specify the type of pedagogical articles they publish, with the categories of Information Systems, Business in General, IS Technology, and Other. Of the 20 journals that do publish pedagogical articles, 17 publish articles with a focus on IS (of those, an average of 53% deal with IS Pedagogy), 8 with a focus on Business (51%), 17 with a focus on IS Technology (40%), and 1 Other (95%). This shows that IS Pedagogy and IS Technology as it relates to pedagogy make up the majority of pedagogical publications in terms of both numbers and percentages.

4. DISCUSSION

The results of the survey were a surprise to the authors. The data in Appendix II revealed that overall 2.5% of the articles published by the top 50 IS journals deal with IS pedagogy, and even when looking only among the 20 journals that do in fact publish pedagogical articles, this number climbs to only 6.73%. That means that on average only one in 40 articles of these top IS journals deals with IS pedagogy. These numbers present a bleak picture, made even worse by the fact that the top three journals: MISQ, ISR, and JMIS (not including CACM, see Appendix II), publish an insignificant number of pedagogical articles. Considering the case of MISQ: 1% means that with 4 issues per year and about 5 articles per issue, MISQ publishes on average one (1) pedagogical article every five (5) years!

Two journals, the Journal of Accounting Information Systems and Journal of Information Systems Education, account for nearly half of all IS pedagogical publications (not taking into account differences in the number of articles, article size, circulation, or publication frequency), but their relatively low ranking (44th and 45th out of the 50 respondents respectively) also means that they would not typically be considered "A" journals. Of the top 30 respondents, only 1.5% of the articles deal with IS pedagogy. Thus it is clear that a researcher who publishes IS pedagogical articles will seldom be able to publish in one of the commonly accepted top tier journals.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A survey of the top 61 ranked IS journals revealed that of the 50 respondents, 2.5% of the accepted articles were IS pedagogy related, and only two (2) journals consider themselves to have a focus on IS pedagogy. The authors conclude that IS educators who want to publish in this area have little hope to publish their work in one of the commonly top ranked IS journals. Considering the fact that faculty are expected to do three things, namely research, teach, and service, one could expect that pedagogy – as a main component of a faculties responsibility – also finds its way into research and in turn gets published. However, the results of this study show that this type of research is not pub-

lished in top-tier journals. Which raises the question of who ranks these journals?

One could speculate that these rankings are more important to, and thus dominated by, research-oriented as opposed to teaching oriented universities. Since faculty in research institutions are expected to do more research than teaching, they might also do less research on teaching. This can have an influence on them as rankers, which in turn will influence the number of IS pedagogy articles published in these top journals.

The purpose of this paper was to determine the pedagogical orientation of research journals. The authors have shown that, with the exception of two (2) journals, few IS pedagogical articles find their way into the ranked journals. The authors believe that there are other venues for the dissemination of pedagogical articles that are not represented in the current rankings.

While the authors are currently conducting such a study to identify and rank these journals, this exceeds the scope of this paper. Instead the authors hope that the results of this study will serve as a catalyst to open a dialogue among those who have a stake in, or are involved in, the evaluation of publications when assessing faculty productivity.

6. REFERENCES

- Dill, D.D., & Morrison, J.L. (1985), Ed.D. and Ph.D. "Research training in the field of higher education: A survey and a proposal." *The Review of Higher Education*, 8(2), 169-186.
- Euster, G.L., & Weinbach, R.W. (1986). "Deans' quality assessment of faculty publications for tenure/ promotion decisions." *Journal of Social Work Education*, 22(3), 79-84.
- Gillenson M. and Stutz J. "Academic Issues in MIS: Journals and Books," *MIS Quarterly*, 1991, vol.15, no.4, 147-452
- Hardgrave B. and Walstrom K.: "Forums for MIS Scholars," *Communications of the ACM*, November 1997, vol. 40, no.11, 119-124

- Holsapple C., Johnson, L., Manakyan H., and Tanner J.: "Business Computing Research Journals: A Normalized Citation Analysis," *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 1994, vol. 11, no. 1, 131-140
- Hiller, M.D., & Ritvo, T.A. (1991). "Rethinking the promotions and tenure process." *Journal of Allied Health*, 20(2), 85-93.
- McNab, W.L. (1994). "Pursing promotion and tenure in higher education: Questions, answers, and suggestions." *The IS Educator: Journal of Eta Sigma Gamma*, 26(1), 25-28.
- Marchant, G.J., and Newman, I. (1994). "Faculty activities and rewards: Views from education administrators in the USA." *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 19(2), 145-152.
- Mylonopoulos, N and Theoharakis, V.: "On-Site: Global Perceptions of IS Journals," *Communications of the ACM*, Sept. 2001, vol. 44, no. 9, 29-33
- Newell, S., & Price, J.H. (1983). "Promotion, merit, and tenure decisions for college IS education faculty." *IS Education*, 14(3), 12-15.
- Sundre, D.L. (1992). "The specification of the content domain of faculty scholarship." *Research in Higher Education*, 33(3), 297-315.
- Saunders, Carol (2003): Journal Information, <http://www.bus.ucf.edu/csaunders/newjournal.htm>, reviewed June 13th, 2003.
- Walstrom K., Hardgrave B., Wilson R. "Forums for Management Information Systems Scholars," *Communications of the ACM*, 1995, vol. 38, no.3, 93-102
- Whicker, M.L., Kroenfeld, J.J., & Strickland, R.A. (1993). *Getting tenure*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Whitman, M., Hendrickson A. and Townsend A.: "Research Commentary. Academic Rewards for Teaching, Research and service: Data and Discourse," *Informa-*

tion Systems Research, June 1999, vol. 10, no. 2, 99-

Appendix I: Survey (reformatted)

Professor XXX,

As editor of XXXX, we need your help since many states, including Georgia, are now mandating vehicles for promotion based on the Scholarship of Teaching both for Tenure and Non-Tenure Track faculty. Therefore, we are conducting a study to identify journal outlets for the dissemination of Scholarship of Teaching in Information Systems. Please take five (5) minutes to "reply" and answer the following four questions:

Question #1:

Typically, what percentage of your accepted articles fall into each of these IS research domains:

- ___ % A) Applied (i.e. surveys, research case studies, etc.)
 ___ % B) Theoretical (i.e. examine/develop research methodologies, etc.)
 ___ % C) Pedagogical (i.e. teaching tools, teaching cases, etc.)
 ___ % D) Other:___

Question #2:

Out of the total number of **pedagogical articles** that you publish (see Question #1.C above), what percentage of accepted articles fall into each of these three sub-domains for Scholarship of Teaching in:

- ___ % A) Information Systems: teaching and learning in IS specifically
 ___ % B) Business: teaching and learning in a general business school setting
 ___ % C) Information Technology: educational technology to enhance teaching and learning
 ___ % D) Other:_____

Question #3: Would you classify your journal focus as pedagogically oriented?

- ___ Yes ___ No

Thank you for your participation.

Appendix II: Results of Survey

Journal Name	Q1: Percent Accepted				Q2: Percent Pedagogic Accepted				Q3: Pedagogic Oriented	
	Applied	Theory	Pedagogic	Other	IS	Business	Info Tech	Other	Yes	No
MIS Quarterly	95	4	1	0	95		5			1
Communications of the ACM (*)	35	25	25	15	30	35	35	0		1
IS Research	50	50	0	0						1
Mgmt. Science	10	90	0	0						1
Journal of MIS	40	60	0	0						1
Decision Sciences	50	50	0	0						1
IEEE Transaction (**)	50	0	50	0	5			95	1	
ACM Transactions on IS	0	100	0	0						1
Journal of the ACM	0	100	0	0						1
Harvard Business Review	33	0	0	67						1
ACM Computing Surveys	20	75	5	0	80	20				1
Decision Support Systems	30	70	0	0						1
Computer(IEEE)	60	30	10	0			100			1
Info. and Mgmt.	25	50	15	10	80		20			1
Euro. Journal of IS	56	12	6	26			100			1
Sloan Mgmt. Review	100	0	0	0						1
Communications of the AIS	65	11	11	13	60		40			1
Omega	50	50	0	0						1
Academy of Mgmt. Review	0	100	0	0						1
IS Management	90	0	5	5	90		10			1
Info. Systems	70	30	0	0						1
Info. Resources Management J,	70	20	10	0	50	30	20			1
Org. Science	90	10	0	0						1
Admin. Science Quarterly	0	100	0	0						1
ACM J. on Edu. Res. in Comp.										
Operations Research	90	0	10	0		100				1
Org. Behavior & Human Decision Processes	85	15	0	0						1
Int'l Journal of Info. Mgmt.	80	20	0	0						1
Int'l J. of Human-Comp. Studies	65	35	0	0						1
ACM Transact. on Comp.-Human Interact.	50	50	0	0						1
J. of Strategic Info. Systems	65	35	0	0						1
J. of Database Management	35	50	0	15						1
J. of Computer Info. Systems	65	5	20	10	50		50			1
Interfaces (INFORMS)	80	10	10	0	5	90	5			1
DATA BASE	50	50	0	0						1

Knowledge Based Systems										
Journal of Info. Science	50	40	0	10						1
Journal of Mgmt. Sys.	75	15	10	0	30	60				1
Journal of Operations Research	10	90	0	0						1
Journal of Systems and Software										
Expert Systems with Applications	40	50	10	0	34	33	33			1
Behavior and Info. Tech.	40	25	15	20	30	40	30			1
INFOR J. (Info. Sys. and OR)	20	80	0	0						1
Int'l Journal of Intelligent Sys. in Acc., Fin. & Mgmt. (formerly Expert Sys. Review)	75	25	0	0						1
Journal of IS (Acct.)	60	15	25	0	85		15			1
Journal of IS (Educ.)	50	10	40	0	90		10		1	
Computers in Human Behavior	35	45	20	0	40		60			1
Communication Research	50	50	0	0						1
Journal of End-User Computing	80	10	10	0	50		50			1
Simulation (J. of the Society for Comp. Sim.)	35	50	15	0			100			1
Journal of Software Maintenance	40	60	0	0						1
Datamation	95	0	0	5						1
PC World	0	0	0	100						1
Count	50	50	50	50	17	8	17	1	2	48
Avg	50.2	37.4	6	5.9	45.2	20.4	29.2	40.2	0.04	0.96
Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum	100	100	50	100	95	100	100	95	1	1

(*) CACM underwent many changes, which lead to its removal from the "A" list of a number of universities, incl. this institution.

(**) There are numerous IEEE publications. The reported numbers represent the "overall" response of a senior editor in reference to all the IEEE publications.

Journals that opted out of our survey: Quality Progress, Academy of Management Journal

Journals that did not respond: ACM Journal on Edu. Resources in Comp, Knowledge based Systems, Journal of Systems and Software

Journals that are no longer published: Journal of Systems Management, AI Expert, Interface (The Education Journal), IBSCUG Quarterly (Journal of the International Business School Computer Users Group)

Journals where no information could be found: ACM Educational Board, IEEE Computer Society -Educational Activities, Computers and Automation



Jens O. Liegle, Ph.D.

Jens Liegle is an Assistant Professor of Computer Information Systems (CIS) in the J. Mack Robinson College of Business at Georgia State University. His research interests include emerging technologies, intelligent tutoring systems, software metrics, and pedagogical issues in Information Systems. He earned his bachelor degree from the Pforzheim business school (Germany), his MBA from the University of Akron, and his PhD from Kent State University.

Georgia State University
Robinson College of Business
Computer Information Systems
P.O. Box 4015
Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4015

jliegle@gsu.edu (e-mail)
404.651.3850(phone)
404.651.3842 (fax)



Roy D. Johnson, Ph.D.

Roy Johnson is an Assistant Professor of Computer Information Systems (CIS) in the J. Mack Robinson College of Business at Georgia State University. His research interests include creativity, business ethics, critical thinking and pedagogical issues in Information Systems. He earned his bachelor's and master's degrees from Appalachian State University, doctorate in Anatomy, Art and Dance from the University of Oregon and postdoctoral work in Management Information Systems from the University of Minnesota and Indiana University.

Georgia State University
Robinson College of Business
Computer Information Systems
P.O. Box 4015
Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4015

roy@gsu.edu (e-mail)
404.463.9641 (phone)
404.651.3842 (fax)